



**NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY**

**PHILOSOPHY, ARTIFICIAL  
INTELLIGENCE, AND DIGITAL  
EDUCATION**

**VOLUME 35 NO. 1, OCTOBER, 2025**

**ISSN: 0794-0114**

**e-ISSN: 2971-5652**

Published by

**PHILOSOPHERS OF EDUCATION ASSOCIATION OF NIGERIA (PEAN)**

[www.peanpapers.com.ng](http://www.peanpapers.com.ng)

Formatted & Printed in Nigeria by



[www.timsmek.com](http://www.timsmek.com) | [info@timsmek.com](mailto:info@timsmek.com) | +234-703-455-9895

## **EDITORIAL POLICY/GUIDELINES OF NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY (NJEP)**

Nigerian Journal of Educational Philosophy (NJEP) is published two times a year, April and October by Philosophers of Education Association of Nigeria (PEAN). Although, NJEP provides an outlet for the discussion of most substantive issues in educational theory and practice, it draws specifically upon philosophical insights and welcomes articles presented within a philosophical frame of reference.

### **Editorial Policy Guidelines for contributions: Submission of Articles**

- Manuscripts: The style of reference is mainly the TURABIAN method.
- Articles should not exceed 5000 words/15 pages (including abstract of not more than 250 words), typed on A4 paper with double line spacing using Times New Roman, Font size 12. Since Articles should be sent anonymously to consulting editors for assessment/peer review, the author's name, GSM's number(s), qualification, post and address should be typed on a separate detachable page.
- Quotations: Quotations that are 40 words and above should be properly indented with ½ inch margin to the left and right respectively.
- Articles submitted to any other body/Journal for publication or already published should NOT be sent to the Editor.
- On submission, three copies of the manuscript with the evidence of payment of vetting fee (N5000) should be sent to the Editor. This is only applicable to April version of the Journal.
- On the receipt of vetting article from the Editor, soft copy of the final manuscript after the necessary corrections should be submitted to the Editor with the publication fee.
- Information on the Journal volume where the article will appear will be communicated to the author(s) immediately the article is accepted for publication.
- Note that NO vetting fee is charged on article presented in the conference by the conference attendee or conference Edition of the NJEP Journal published in October every year (conference edition is published in October).
- Also, note that April edition of NJEP will attract vetting fee of N5000.
- Plagiarism: The author should ensure that he/she avoid high percentage level of plagiarism (preferable not more than 20%)
- NJEP Journal from 2022 will have both print and online editions ([www.peanpapers.com](http://www.peanpapers.com))

All correspondence should be directed to:

### **Editor**

Prof. S. D. Osaat

Department of Educational Foundations

University of Port Harcourt, P.M.B 5323, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

[Sunday.osaat@uniport.edu.ng](mailto:Sunday.osaat@uniport.edu.ng)

[osaatsundan@gmail.com](mailto:osaatsundan@gmail.com)

+234 803 673 1057 ,

+234 703 440 6894

## **EDITORIAL BOARD**

### **Editor:**

**Prof. Sunday D. Osaat**

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

### **Associate Editors:**

**Prof. Chris J. A. Onwuka**

Institute of Education, University of Nigerian, Nsukka.

**Prof. Abeke Adesanya**

Adekunle Ajasin University Akwoba, Ondo State, Nigeria

**Prof. A. Owan Enoh**

Faculty of Education, Cross River University of Technology Calabar, Nigeria.

**Prof. A.A. Bagudo**

Institute of Education and Extension Services, Usman Dan Fodio University, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

**Prof. Kolu Babarrinde**

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

### **Consulting Editors:**

**Prof. D.E. Denton**

University of Kentucky, U.S.A.

**Prof. Philip Rothman**

Antioch College, U.S.A

**Prof. J. Nelson Omatseye**

Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin city, Nigeria

**Prof. Joseph D. Okoh**

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.

**Prof. Orona Orokah**

Faculty of Education, Delta State University of Abraka, Nigeria

**Prof. Oluremi Ayodele-Bamisaye**

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan.

# **The Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Education**

**Ebor, Lawrence Motim**

University of Cross River State, Calabar.  
eborlawrence1@gmail.com  
07037059040

**Dr. Ebuta Erasmus Eyang**

Cross River State College Of Education, Awi – Akamkpa,  
Cross Rivers State  
ebutaerasmuseyang@gmail.com  
08139266787.

**Eneyo Michael Antigha**

University of Cross River State Calabar  
mikeneyomusaha@yahoo.com  
07037878914.

**Victoria Musa Amba**

University of Jos.

**Ebor Matthew Ngbantul**

University of Cross River State, Calabar

**&**

**Ozoh Sylvanus Iwora**

University of Calabar

## **Abstract**

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in education has introduced numerous benefits, but also raises critical ethical concerns. This research explores the ethical implications of AI implementation in education, focusing on key dimensions such as privacy, data protection, equity, bias, and the teacher-student relationship. The findings emphasize the importance of transparency, accountability, and fairness in AI design and deployment. A comprehensive framework is proposed to guide ethical AI implementation, highlighting the need for robust policies, algorithmic transparency, and addressing biases. By addressing these ethical considerations, educational stakeholders can create a responsible and inclusive environment that leverages AI's potential while upholding ethical principles.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, Education, Ethics, Implementing AI, Revolutionized.

## Introduction

The proliferation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed various aspects of our lives, from autonomous vehicles to artistic creations and data analysis. The exponential growth of digital data and computational power has revolutionized the way we perceive intelligence. Scholars herald AI as a radical technology poised to forever alter human interactions. Education has undergone significant transformations, driven primarily by AI advancements.

AI has the potential to revolutionize education, offering personalized learning experiences and efficient administrative systems. However, as educational institutions integrate AI, ethical implications demand careful consideration. While technological advancements promise benefits, they also raise critical ethical questions.

The ethical implications of AI in education extend beyond technical considerations, encompassing privacy, fairness, transparency, accountability, and broader impacts on educational outcomes. Concerns surround data privacy, security, and potential misuse. AI algorithms in decision-making processes may introduce biases or reinforce existing inequalities.

The intersection of teachers and AI technologies raises ethical questions about the teacher-student relationship, critical thinking skills, and human touch in education. Balancing AI-assisted instruction with human guidance is crucial.

This research explores the ethical principles guiding AI design and deployment in education, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and fairness. Employing philosophical arguments, this paper critically analyzes AI's ethical implications in education, navigating the complex moral terrain surrounding its implementation.

## The Concept of Education

Etymologically, the word "education" originates from the Latin term "educare," meaning "to train" or "to form." Alternatively, it is derived from the Latin words "ē" (out) and "dūcere" (to lead), combining to form "ēdūcere," literally translating to "to lead out"<sup>1</sup>.

This definition resonates with Ayo Fadahunsi's perspective, where education is seen as a process that leads individuals out of ignorance, fostering awareness and discovery<sup>2</sup>. Similarly, Fasanya defines education as an art form that cultivates intellectual, moral, and physical growth.

Education can be broadly understood as a transformative process that enriches individuals through:

- ❖ Acquisition of informed experiences
- ❖ Skill development
- ❖ Realization of human potentialities and capabilities

Ultimately, education empowers individuals to confront and address the complexities of human existence and societal challenges, facilitating adequate human development.

## Meaning of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a branch of computer science dedicated to creating systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence. These tasks include learning, reasoning, problem-solving, perception, language understanding, and interaction. The

aim of Artificial Intelligence is to develop algorithms and models that enable machines to process information, make decisions, and act autonomously or semi-autonomously. Artificial Intelligence can be categorized into two main types:

### **Narrow AI (Weak AI):**

This type of AI is designed to perform a specific task or a narrow range of tasks. It does not possess general intelligence and cannot perform functions outside its predefined capabilities. Examples include facial recognition systems, language translation tools, and recommendation algorithms.

### **General AI (Strong AI):**

This is a theoretical form of AI that possesses general cognitive abilities, akin to human intelligence. General AI can understand, learn, and apply knowledge across a wide range of tasks. While narrow AI is prevalent today, general AI remains a long-term goal of research and development.

## **Philosophical Foundations Of Artificial Intelligence In Education**

1. **Immanuel Kant's Categorical Imperative:** Kant's moral philosophy emphasizes the importance of treating individuals as ends in themselves, rather than as means to an end. Applied to AI in education, this principle underscores the necessity of respecting learners' autonomy and dignity. It prompts us to consider whether AI-driven educational systems prioritize students' interests and facilitate their moral development.
2. **John Stuart Mill's Utilitarianism:** Mill's utilitarian perspective evaluates actions based on their consequences, aiming to maximize overall happiness or utility. When applied to AI in education, utilitarianism prompts us to assess whether the benefits of AI-driven learning platforms outweigh potential harms. Moreover, it raises questions about the distribution of educational resources and the implications of AI algorithms perpetuating societal inequalities.
3. **Aristotle's Virtue Ethics:** Aristotle's virtue ethics focuses on cultivating moral character and flourishing as individuals within a community. In the context of AI in education, this framework encourages us to reflect on the development of virtues such as critical thinking, empathy, and intellectual curiosity. It challenges us to consider whether AI technologies support the holistic growth of learners or hinder their moral and intellectual development.

## **Artificial Intelligence and the Philosophy in Education**

The educational philosophy of John Dewey places an emphasis on hands-on experience and making connections between classroom learning and real-world situations. His philosophy of education focuses on the value of experiential and hands-on learning in preparing learners for civic engagement. Artificial intelligence (AI) in education, on the other hand, has the potential to individualize instruction and expand students' access to course materials, but it has also been linked to a decline in student-teacher contact. Experiential learning and making connections between classroom instruction and real-world problems and challenges are central to the progressive pedagogy that John Dewey championed. AI could be utilized in this setting to give students access to simulations and VR settings that are both realistic and interesting to them.

Constructivism, which places an emphasis on the student's active participation in the learning process, may find a friend in AI in the form of individualized learning algorithms that can be tailored to each learner's specific requirements.

## **Arguments for AI in Education**

### **Personalized Learning**

AI algorithms can analyze students' learning styles, preferences, and performance data to tailor instruction to individual needs, fostering personalized learning experiences that maximize student engagement and achievement.

### **Efficiency and Accessibility**

AI-powered educational platforms can automate administrative tasks, provide instant feedback on assignments, and offer accessible learning resources, thereby increasing efficiency and widening access to quality education, especially in remote or underserved areas.

### **Data-Driven Decision Making**

AI analytics can generate insights from large datasets, enabling educators and policymakers to make data-driven decisions about curriculum design, instructional strategies, and resource allocation, leading to continuous improvement in educational practices.

### **Adaptive Assessment**

AI-based assessment tools can dynamically adjust the difficulty and content of assessments based on students' performance, providing more accurate and timely feedback to support learning progression and identify areas for improvement.

### **Innovative Teaching Methods**

AI technologies, such as virtual reality simulations, chatbots for tutoring and interactive learning platforms, offer innovative teaching methods that enhance student engagement, creativity, and critical thinking skills.

## **Arguments against AI In Education**

### **Dehumanization of Learning**

Over-reliance on AI-driven educational systems may lead to the dehumanization of learning experiences, diminishing the role of human teachers and interpersonal interactions essential for holistic development, empathy, and social-emotional learning.

### **Threats to Privacy and Security**

The extensive collection, analysis, and utilization of student data by AI algorithms raise concerns about privacy infringement, data breaches, and unauthorized surveillance, compromising students' autonomy, confidentiality, and digital rights.

### **Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination**

AI algorithms can perpetuate and amplify biases present in training data, resulting in algorithmic discrimination, unfair treatment, and systemic inequalities in educational outcomes, particularly for marginalized or underrepresented student populations.

### **Loss of Control and Accountability**

The opaque nature of AI decision-making processes and the lack of transparency in algorithmic design raise challenges in understanding, auditing, and holding accountable the responsible parties for errors, biases, or unintended consequences in AI-driven educational systems.

### **Socioeconomic Divide**

The adoption of AI technologies in education may exacerbate the socioeconomic divide by widening the gap between affluent students with access to advanced AI tools and resources and disadvantaged students who lack equitable access to technology and personalized learning opportunities.

In weighing these arguments, it is essential to engage in informed discourse, consider diverse perspectives, and address ethical concerns to ensure that AI technologies are harnessed responsibly to enhance, rather than detract from, the quality and equity of education for all learners.

## **Contemporary Philosophers Regarding AI in Education And Its Ethical Implications**

### **Nick Bostrom**

Nick Bostrom is a Swedish philosopher known for his work in the fields of existential risk, decision theory, and the ethics of artificial intelligence. He is the founding director of the Future of Humanity Institute at the University of Oxford, where he conducts research on the long-term impacts of emerging technologies, including AI, biotechnology, and nanotechnology, on human civilization.

Bostrom<sup>4</sup>, in his work on Existential Risks and Super-intelligence, explores the potential benefits and risks of AI in education. He argues that while AI has the capacity to revolutionize learning experiences and improve educational outcomes, it also poses existential risks if not aligned with human values. Bostrom emphasizes the importance of designing AI systems in alignment with ethical principles to ensure their beneficial impact on education.

Bostrom gained widespread recognition for his book *Super-intelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*, published in 2014. In this seminal work, he explores the potential consequences of the development of super-intelligent AI and argues for the importance of ensuring that such systems are aligned with human values to prevent catastrophic outcomes.

Bostrom's research also extends to topics such as simulation theory, anthropic reasoning, and global catastrophic risks. He is known for his rigorous and interdisciplinary approach to addressing complex philosophical and ethical issues arising from technological advancements and their implications for humanity's future.

### **Susan Schneider**

Susan Schneider is a philosopher known for her work in the philosophy of mind, cognitive science, and the ethics of artificial intelligence. She holds the positions of Distinguished Scholar at the Library of Congress and the Baruch S. Blumberg NASA/Library of Congress Chair in Astrobiology. Schneider's research focuses on the nature of consciousness, the implications of AI for society and humanity, and the ethical challenges posed by emerging technologies.



She argues that while personalized learning algorithms can enhance educational experiences by catering to individual needs and preferences, they also raise concerns about privacy, autonomy, and algorithmic bias. Schneider emphasizes the need for robust ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks to govern the responsible development and deployment of AI technologies in education.

### **Luciano Floridi**

Luciano Floridi is a philosopher known for his pioneering work in the philosophy of information, ethics of artificial intelligence, and the concept of the info-sphere. He holds the positions of Professor of Philosophy and Ethics of Information at the University of Oxford and Director of the Digital Ethics Lab at the Oxford Internet Institute. Floridi's research explores the ethical implications of information and communication technologies, the nature of digital identity, and the moral challenges posed by the increasing digitization of society.

Floridi, a leading figure in the field of philosophy of information and ethics of AI, offers insights into the ethical challenges of AI-driven education. He argues that AI technologies have the potential to democratize access to education, empower learners, and promote lifelong learning<sup>5</sup>.

However, Floridi warns against the commodification of education, data exploitation, and the erosion of human values in the pursuit of efficiency and automation. He advocates for ethical AI design principles grounded in respect for human dignity, transparency, and accountability.

### **Kate Devlin**

Kate Devlin is a prominent figure in the fields of artificial intelligence, robotics, and the ethics of technology. She is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Digital Humanities at King's College London, where her research focuses on human-computer interaction, social robotics, and the impact of AI on society and culture. Devlin's work explores the ethical and societal implications of emerging technologies, with a particular emphasis on human-centered design, gender representation, and the future of intimacy in the age of AI.

She argues that AI technologies should complement, rather than replace, human teachers and mentors in fostering critical thinking, creativity, and social-emotional skills. Devlin emphasizes the importance of human-centered design and interdisciplinary collaboration in harnessing AI to address educational challenges while upholding ethical principles of equity, diversity, and inclusion<sup>6</sup>.

### **Maurice S. Johnson**

Johnson, an ethicist and philosopher of technology, explores the ethical dimensions of AI-driven assessment and decision-making in education. He argues that while AI algorithms can offer valuable insights and support in evaluating student performance and guiding instructional interventions, they also raise concerns about fairness, transparency, and accountability<sup>7</sup>. Johnson advocates for participatory approaches to AI governance that engage stakeholders in ethical deliberation, risk assessment, and policy development to ensure the ethical use of AI in education.

Maurice S. Johnson's works focuses on the ethical implications of emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence, machine learning, and data analytics. He is known for his

research on the intersection of ethics, technology, and education, exploring how advancements in AI impact educational practices, policies, and outcomes. Johnson's scholarship emphasizes the importance of ethical decision-making, equity, and social justice in the design and deployment of AI technologies in educational settings.

## **Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Education**

### **Privacy and Data Protection**

The widespread use of AI in education necessitates the collection and analysis of vast amounts of student data. Philosophical arguments grounded in theories of privacy, such as those by John Locke and Alan Westin; highlight the importance of safeguarding individuals' autonomy and personal information. Concerns arise regarding the ownership, access, and security of student data, as well as the potential for algorithmic discrimination and surveillance.

### **Autonomy and Agency**

AI-driven educational systems have the potential to influence learners' choices, preferences, and decision-making processes. Drawing on the works of Jean-Jacques Rousseau and John Dewey, philosophical discussions on autonomy underscore the significance of preserving learners' agency and freedom of thought<sup>8</sup>. Ethical considerations arise regarding the design of AI algorithms, the transparency of decision-making processes, and the balance between personalized recommendations and intellectual exploration.

### **Bias and Fairness**

AI algorithms are susceptible to biases inherent in training data and algorithmic design, leading to unequal treatment and opportunities for marginalized groups. Philosophical inquiries into justice and fairness, such as those by John Rawls and Martha Nussbaum, shed light on the ethical implications of algorithmic bias in education. It calls for critical scrutiny of AI systems to mitigate discriminatory outcomes and promote equitable access to educational resources.

### **Ensuring responsible Use of AI in a school environment**

This involves establishing clear policies, educating staff, and prioritizing data privacy and security. Schools should develop comprehensive policies that address ethical considerations and communicate them to all stakeholders. Training teachers and staff on its capabilities, uses, and risks are paramount to ensure responsible usage in the classroom. Regular monitoring and evaluation of AI usage, involving parents and guardians, and continuously reviewing and updating policies are also key steps to ensure responsible AI use in schools.

### **Promoting Digital Literacy**

AI introduces potential risks to children's learning and digital literacy, including over reliance on technology, the digital divide, and the proliferation of misinformation<sup>9</sup>. Over reliance on AI tools may hinder the development of critical problem-solving skills, while the digital divide may exacerbate educational inequalities. AI-driven content recommendations could potentially spread misinformation, thus creating challenges for students in discerning credible information. To address these risks, schools should adopt blended learning approaches that combine traditional teaching methods with AI tools, ensuring a balanced learning experience. Additionally, schools must strive to provide all students with access to the necessary technology, helping to bridge the digital divide. Including digital and media literacy in their curriculum is

also critical, as it enables students to critically evaluate online information, promoting better understanding and responsible use of AI and digital tools in the learning process.

### **Ensuring Equity and Inclusion**

AI tools in education, if not properly designed or implemented, can potentially exacerbate educational inequalities, particularly for students with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND). For example, if AI tools are not developed with accessibility in mind, students with different learning needs may find them challenging to use. Algorithmic bias can also occur, making AI tools more effective for mainstream students than for those with special needs. In addition, while AI has the potential to offer personalized learning, improperly designed tools may follow a one-size-fits-all approach, disadvantaging students who would benefit from more individualized attention. Schools must emphasize accessibility and personalization when implementing AI tools. They should collaborate with AI providers to ensure tools are designed with accessibility features, making them usable for students with diverse learning needs. Personalization should be at the forefront of AI implementation, with tools catering to each student's unique learning pace and style.

### **Discussions**

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in education brings numerous opportunities and benefits, but it also raises significant ethical implications that must be carefully examined. This research explored the ethical challenges associated with AI implementation in education, focusing on the dimensions of privacy and data protection, equity and bias, and the impact on the teacher-student relationship.

Respecting privacy rights and ensuring user control over student data are crucial to maintain ethical practices. Safeguarding against biases and discrimination in AI algorithms is essential to promote educational equity and inclusivity. Furthermore, preserving the teacher-student relationship and the human touch in education is paramount, striking a balance between AI assisted instruction and personalized guidance. To navigate the ethical implications of AI in education, it is imperative to establish comprehensive frameworks and guidelines. Incorporating ethical considerations in the design and development of AI systems is fundamental to ensuring responsible AI implementation. Stakeholders, including educators, policymakers, and researchers, should collaborate to establish robust policies and safeguards to protect student privacy, address biases, and promote equity.

Also, note, a teacher should not be replaced by the implementation of the artificial intelligence, as the technology does not have the finesse and the emotions that a pedagogue has after years and years of training in children character and psychology. Future research should, first and foremost, concentrate on creating and assessing AI-based educational apps and investigating the possible advantages and difficulties of utilizing this technology in various educational contexts and settings. Secondly, it is important to make sure that the technology is applied responsibly and morally. By using voice-activated interfaces like smart speakers or virtual assistants, ChatGPT can be used by young children in the early childhood education setting who are unable to read and write. Children can use voice commands or inquiries to interact with ChatGPT, and the AI model can answer with audio outputs. Children who are not yet able to read or write can nonetheless use technology and engage with it. To ensure safety and wellbeing, it is crucial to stress that young children should utilize AI technology under the close

supervision and guidance of adults. ChatGPT and other AI tools won't go away, thus we need to make sure that we set up moral and pertinent boundaries for our students to use these technologies successfully. All educational organizations must purchase AI plagiarism toolkits. In order to guarantee that students are developing critical thinking and judgment abilities, educators must simultaneously adapt their teaching and curriculum strategies. As the purpose of education is to equip students with the necessary abilities to gain employment, as well as to solve business difficulties and problems that develop the organizations they are employed by, a judicious balance is required to ensure that cognitive offloading and critical thinking skills are not disregarded.

Artificial intelligence holds immense potential to transform education, yet its ethical implications cannot be overlooked. By engaging with philosophical arguments, we can navigate the complex ethical landscape of AI in education, striving to uphold principles of autonomy, fairness, and human flourishing. As we continue to harness AI technologies to enhance learning experiences, it is imperative to remain vigilant and ethically responsible, ensuring that education remains a beacon of enlightenment and empowerment for all.

## **Conclusion**

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education presents a complex landscape of opportunities and challenges. On one hand, AI has the potential to revolutionize the way we learn by providing personalized learning experiences tailored to individual needs, abilities, and learning styles. AI-powered adaptive learning systems can adjust to each student's pace, helping to fill knowledge gaps and reinforce concepts. Additionally, AI can automate administrative tasks, freeing up instructors to focus on teaching and mentoring. AI-driven tools can also increase accessibility for students with disabilities, remote or underserved communities, and those who require flexible learning arrangements.

However, the increasing reliance on AI in education also raises important ethical concerns that must be addressed. One of the primary concerns is bias in AI algorithms, which can perpetuate existing inequalities and disadvantage certain groups of students. Furthermore, the collection and analysis of vast amounts of student data raise significant concerns about data privacy and security. There are also questions about accountability, particularly in situations where AI-driven decisions have a significant impact on students' educational outcomes. Moreover, the potential displacement of human teachers by AI systems is a pressing concern, as teachers play a vital role in shaping students' social, emotional, and cognitive development.

To fully harness the potential of AI in education, it is essential to develop and implement robust guidelines, regulations, and standards that prioritize transparency, accountability, and fairness. Educators, policymakers, and developers must work together to ensure that AI is used in ways that augment human teaching, rather than replacing it. By acknowledging the ethical implications of AI in education and taking proactive steps to address them, we can create a more equitable, effective, and human-centered education system that benefits all students.

## **Recommendations**

The following recommendations are made:

### **Educators and Policymakers should:**

- Develop and implement comprehensive AI ethics guidelines for education.

- Provide training and resources for teachers to effectively integrate AI tools.
- Ensure transparency and accountability in AI decision-making processes.
- Prioritize student data protection and privacy.
- Foster critical thinking and digital literacy skills.

**AI Developers should:**

- Design AI systems with accessibility and inclusivity in mind.
- Implement bias-reducing algorithms and fairness metrics.
- Ensure transparency in AI decision-making processes.
- Develop AI tools that complement human teaching.
- Collaborate with educators and policymakers.

**Schools and Institutions should:**

- Establish AI ethics committees.
- Develop AI-related policies and procedures.
- Invest in AI-powered tools that enhance learning.
- Monitor and evaluate AI usage.
- Foster a culture of responsible AI adoption.

**Researchers should:**

- Investigate AI's impact on education outcomes.
- Develop AI-based educational apps and tools.
- Study AI-related biases and disparities.
- Explore AI's potential for personalized learning.
- Investigate AI's effects on teacher-student relationships.

**Parents and Guardians should:**

- Stay informed about AI usage in education.
- Engage with teachers and schools on AI-related issues.
- Monitor children's AI usage.
- Foster critical thinking and digital literacy skills.
- Advocate for responsible AI adoption.

**Governments and Regulatory Bodies should:**

- Develop and enforce AI-related regulations.
- Provide funding for AI-related research and development.
- Establish national AI ethics guidelines.
- Support AI-related professional development.
- Encourage public discourse on AI ethics.

## Endnotes

- Ayo Fadahunsi, *Philosophy. An Anthology*, Ikeja: Ark Publishers, 1998, p. 300
- Bostrom, *Existential Risks and Super-intelligence*, Oxford University Press, 2014, 9.
- Johnson, Maurice S. *Ethics and Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*, Routledge, 2021, p. 11.
- Kate Devlin, *Artificial Intelligence in Practice*, Cambridge University Press, 2021, 97
- Luciano Floridi, *Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, and the Concept of the Info-sphere*, Oxford University Press, 2013, p.67.
- Okewu Michael Peter, '*The Concept of Education*' in *Philosophy of Education: Introductory Note*, Owerri: Rosarian Publication, 2022, p. 58.
- Randall Currew, "*Philosophy of Education. Ethical and Political Issues in*", 360, in Donald M. Borchert (gen. ed.), *Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, VII, 2nd ed., New York: Thomson Gale, 2006, pp.360-364.
- Susan Schneider *Science Fiction and Philosophy: From Time Travel to Super intelligence*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2009, 14
- Westin, Alan F. *Privacy and Freedom*, Cambridge University Press, 2021, 97