



NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY

**PHILOSOPHY, ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE, AND DIGITAL
EDUCATION**

VOLUME 35 NO. 2, OCTOBER, 2025

ISSN: 0794-0114

e-ISSN: 2971-5652

Published by

PHILOSOPHERS OF EDUCATION ASSOCIATION OF NIGERIA (PEAN)

www.peanpapers.com.ng

Formatted & Printed in Nigeria by



www.timsmeK.com | info@timsmeK.com | +234-703-455-9895

EDITORIAL POLICY/GUIDELINES OF NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY (NJEP)

Nigerian Journal of Educational Philosophy (NJEP) is published two times a year, April and October by Philosophers of Education Association of Nigeria (PEAN). Although, NJEP provides an outlet for the discussion of most substantive issues in educational theory and practice, it draws specifically upon philosophical insights and welcomes articles presented within a philosophical frame of reference.

Editorial Policy Guidelines for contributions: Submission of Articles

- Manuscripts: The style of reference is mainly the TURABIAN method.
- Articles should not exceed 5000 words/15 pages (including abstract of not more than 250 words), typed on A4 paper with double line spacing using Times New Roman, Font size 12. Since Articles should be sent anonymously to consulting editors for assessment/peer review, the author's name, GSM's number(s), qualification, post and address should be typed on a separate detachable page.
- Quotations: Quotations that are 40 words and above should be properly indented with ½ inch margin to the left and right respectively.
- Articles submitted to any other body/Journal for publication or already published should NOT be sent to the Editor.
- On submission, three copies of the manuscript with the evidence of payment of vetting fee (N5000) should be sent to the Editor. This is only applicable to April version of the Journal.
- On the receipt of vetting article from the Editor, soft copy of the final manuscript after the necessary corrections should be submitted to the Editor with the publication fee.
- Information on the Journal volume where the article will appear will be communicated to the author(s) immediately the article is accepted for publication.
- Note that NO vetting fee is charged on article presented in the conference by the conference attendee or conference Edition of the NJEP Journal published in October every year (conference edition is published in October).
- Also, note that April edition of NJEP will attract vetting fee of N5000.
- Plagiarism: The author should ensure that he/she avoid high percentage level of plagiarism (preferable not more than 20%)
- NJEP Journal from 2022 will have both print and online editions (www.peanpapers.com)

All correspondence should be directed to:

Editor

Prof. S. D. Osaat

Department of Educational Foundations

University of Port Harcourt, P.M.B 5323, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Sunday.osaat@uniport.edu.ng

osaatsundan@gmail.com

+234 803 673 1057

+234 703 440 6894

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor:

Prof. Sunday D. Osaat

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Associate Editors:

Prof. Chris J. A. Onwuka

Institute of Education, University of Nigerian, Nsukka.

Prof. Abeke Adesanya

Adekunle Ajasin University Akwoba, Ondo State, Nigeria

Prof. A. Owan Enoh

Faculty of Education, Cross River University of Technology Calabar, Nigeria.

Prof. A.A. Bagudo

Institute of Education and Extension Services, Usman Dan Fodio University, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

Prof. Kolu Babarrinde

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Consulting Editors:

Prof. D.E. Denton

University of Kentucky, U.S.A.

Prof. Philip Rothman

Antioch College, U.S.A

Prof. J. Nelson Omatseye

Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin city, Nigeria

Prof. Joseph D. Okoh

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.

Prof. Orona Orokah

Faculty of Education, Delta State University of Abraka, Nigeria

Prof. Oluremi Ayodele-Bamisaye

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan.

EPISTEMOLOGY AND DIGITAL EDUCATION

NYAM ANNA LEVI

Department of Educational Foundations, Philosophy of Education Unit,
Nasarawa State University, Keffi.

Abstract

This paper explores the intersection between epistemology the philosophical study of knowledge and digital education, focusing on how the digital revolution transforms the way knowledge is produced, distributed, and validated. With the rise of artificial intelligence, e-learning, and digital classrooms, traditional epistemic assumptions about authority, truth, and the learner's role have been reshaped. The paper discusses the implications of this transformation for sustainable development and educational philosophy, examining both the challenges and opportunities presented by digitalization.¹

Introduction

The emergence of digital technologies has redefined the structure of learning and the conception of knowledge. In traditional contexts, education functioned as a process where knowledge was transmitted from teacher to learner through established epistemic hierarchies. However, digital education introduces a new epistemological order one that decentralizes authority and democratizes access to information.² The digital age compels educators and philosophers to re-examine foundational questions: *What counts as knowledge? Who determines it? How do we justify digital forms of learning as credible?*³

This paper provides a critical analysis of epistemology in the digital era, emphasizing how education systems adapt to technological change. It also assesses the epistemic implications of digital learning for sustainable development and offers a philosophical framework for addressing the associated challenges.⁴

Epistemology: A Philosophical Insight

Epistemology, derived from the Greek *epistēmē* (knowledge) and *logos* (study), is concerned with the nature, sources, and limits of human knowledge.⁵ Central to epistemology are questions about justification, belief, and truth. Plato, in *Theaetetus*, defines knowledge as

“justified true belief,”⁶ a position that continues to influence modern philosophical discourse. Epistemologists like Descartes, Locke, and Kant contributed varying perspectives: Descartes emphasized rational intuition; Locke privileged sensory experience, while Kant synthesized both through his theory of transcendental idealism.⁷

In education, epistemology guides the understanding of what constitutes valid knowledge and how it should be taught.⁸ The epistemic stance of any educational system determines curriculum design, pedagogy, and assessment. For instance, a constructivist epistemology views knowledge as actively constructed by learners, aligning closely with digital education environments that promote interactivity and self-directed learning.⁹

Digitalization

Digitalization refers to the integration of digital technologies into various aspects of human activity, including education, governance, and communication.¹⁰ It extends beyond mere digitization (the conversion of analog information to digital form) to encompass the restructuring of processes, systems, and relationships around digital capabilities.¹¹ In education, digitalization manifests through online learning platforms, artificial intelligence tutors, virtual classrooms, and interactive learning management systems.¹²

The digital revolution in education is characterized by accessibility and inclusivity. Learners can now access vast resources across borders, enabling global collaboration and lifelong learning.¹³ However, this transformation also raises epistemological questions: How reliable is digital knowledge? What happens when algorithms curate what learners see or know?¹⁴ These concerns highlight the need for critical digital literacy an epistemic skill essential in the age of information abundance.¹⁵

Education in Context

Education has always been humanity’s principal mechanism for knowledge transmission and cultural continuity. The digital age, however, compels a shift from a *teaching-centered* to a *learning-centered* paradigm.¹⁶ Instead of viewing knowledge as static and hierarchical, digital education emphasizes knowledge as dynamic, shared, and collaboratively constructed.¹⁷

Philosophers like John Dewey emphasized the experiential nature of learning, asserting that education must be rooted in active participation and reflection.¹⁸ This Deweyan principle finds resonance in modern digital education, where learners engage interactively with multimedia resources, simulations, and global peers.¹⁹ Furthermore, Paulo Freire’s *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* stresses the liberation of learners from passive roles a transformation that digital education potentially enhances through learner autonomy and dialogic engagement.²⁰

General Outlook on Epistemology and Digital Education

Epistemology and digital education converge on a shared inquiry: the pursuit and validation of knowledge. Digital education challenges traditional epistemic models that privilege institutional authority and fixed curricula.²¹ The internet and artificial intelligence now serve as epistemic agents, capable of generating and verifying information.²² This democratization of knowledge creates both epistemic empowerment and confusion empowerment through access, confusion through the proliferation of misinformation.²³

Scholars like Siemens and Downes, in their theory of *Connectivism*, argue that knowledge resides not merely within an individual but across networks of information.²⁴ Learning, therefore, involves navigating and connecting nodes of knowledge, an idea that epitomizes the epistemological essence of digital education.²⁵ In this framework, epistemic validity depends not on authority but on the ability to critically filter, link, and evaluate information.²⁶

Epistemology, Digital Education, and Sustainable Development

Education is central to achieving sustainable development because it shapes the human capacity to generate and apply knowledge responsibly.²⁷ The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4) underscores inclusive and equitable quality education as a cornerstone for all other developmental goals.²⁸ Digital education, through its flexibility and scalability, supports this vision by providing lifelong learning opportunities and reducing educational inequality.²⁹

From an epistemological standpoint, digital education contributes to sustainability by fostering *epistemic justice*—the fair distribution of knowledge opportunities.³⁰ It bridges geographical and socioeconomic divides, ensuring learners in remote areas can access the same epistemic resources as those in urban centers.³¹ However, epistemic justice in digital learning also demands digital competence and critical thinking to ensure learners can discern reliable knowledge from misinformation.³²

Philosophically, sustainable digital education must balance technological innovation with ethical responsibility.³³ As Postman cautioned, “Every technology is both a burden and a blessing; not either-or, but this-and-that.”³⁴ Thus, sustainability requires integrating epistemic humility recognizing the limits of one’s digital knowledge and avoiding overreliance on algorithmic authority.³⁵

Problems and Challenges

Despite its potential, digital education presents profound epistemological and ethical challenges.³⁶ The first is **information overload**, where learners face excessive data without adequate critical frameworks to evaluate it.³⁷ This can lead to superficial understanding, echoing Nicholas Carr’s warning that the internet may be “turning us into shallower thinkers.”³⁸

The second challenge is **digital inequality**.³⁹ Access to technology is uneven, and this epistemic divide widens educational inequality.⁴⁰ Without addressing this imbalance, digital education risks reinforcing existing hierarchies rather than democratizing knowledge.⁴¹

A third issue concerns **authenticity and authorship**.⁴² Artificial intelligence tools can generate text, ideas, or even simulated experiences, blurring the boundaries between human and machine knowledge.⁴³ Epistemologically, this raises the question of whether machine-generated information qualifies as genuine “knowledge” or remains synthetic replication.⁴⁴

Finally, the **erosion of epistemic authority** is a serious concern.⁴⁵ In the digital realm, all opinions often appear equal, making it difficult to distinguish expert knowledge from misinformation.⁴⁶ The collapse of traditional epistemic hierarchies can lead to relativism, where truth becomes subjective and knowledge fragmented.⁴⁷

General Philosophical View on Epistemology and Digital Education

Philosophically, digital education represents a paradigm shift in epistemology from knowledge as possession to knowledge as participation.⁴⁸ Constructivist, pragmatist, and connectivist philosophies converge in recognizing that knowledge acquisition now depends on interaction, reflection, and networked engagement.⁴⁹

From a **constructivist** lens, learners construct understanding through digital collaboration, making knowledge relational rather than absolute.⁵⁰ The **pragmatist** perspective (Dewey) views digital education as instrumental its value lies in its capacity to produce experiential, problem-solving learning.⁵¹ **Critical theorists**, like Habermas, warn against instrumental rationality dominating education; instead, communication and consensus must remain central.⁵²

Meanwhile, **postmodern epistemology** embraces the multiplicity of truths enabled by digital culture but cautions against the loss of coherence and shared meaning.⁵³ Lyotard’s concept of “the postmodern condition” highlights the shift from grand narratives to fragmented knowledge systems a reality now fully manifested in digital education.⁵⁴

Ultimately, epistemology in digital education must navigate between **pluralism and coherence** allowing diverse knowledge forms while upholding intellectual integrity.⁵⁵

Recommendations

To ensure epistemologically sound digital education, several measures are crucial:

1. **Develop Critical Digital Pedagogy:** Learners must be trained to question, verify, and synthesize information rather than passively consume it.⁵⁶

2. **Promote Epistemic Literacy:** Education should include explicit training in epistemology how knowledge is produced, justified, and validated in digital contexts.⁵⁷
3. **Ensure Ethical Digitalization:** Policymakers and educators must embed ethical frameworks in digital education design to prevent data misuse and algorithmic bias.⁵⁸
4. **Encourage Hybrid Models:** Combining traditional classroom learning with digital resources preserves human interaction and moral formation while embracing innovation.⁵⁹
5. **Foster Global Collaboration:** Digital platforms should promote cross-cultural epistemic exchange, ensuring inclusivity and mutual respect in knowledge creation.⁶⁰

These steps collectively create an epistemologically balanced digital education that promotes sustainable learning and authentic understanding.⁶¹

Conclusion

Epistemology and digital education are deeply intertwined in the 21st century. The digital transformation of learning redefines what it means to know, teach, and learn. While it enhances accessibility and democratization, it also destabilizes traditional epistemic structures.⁶² A sound philosophical approach must therefore reconcile technological advancement with epistemic integrity and human values.

In essence, digital education should not merely digitize content but cultivate critical thinkers capable of navigating the complexities of the knowledge society.⁶³ Through epistemic awareness, ethical use of technology, and inclusive educational design, digital learning can truly serve as an instrument of sustainable development and philosophical growth.⁶⁴

Endnotes

1. Paul Standish, *Education and the Digital Age* (London: Bloomsbury, 2020), 2.
2. Gert Biesta, "Good Education in the Age of Measurement," *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability* 21, no. 1 (2009): 33–46.
3. Linda Zagzebski, *Epistemic Authority: A Theory of Trust, Authority, and Autonomy in Belief* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012).
4. Nicholas Burbules and Thomas Callister, *The Digital Revolution and the Schools* (New York: Routledge, 2000).
5. Robert Audi, *Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction* (London: Routledge, 2011).
6. Plato, *Theaetetus*, trans. Benjamin Jowett (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1871).
7. Immanuel Kant, *Critique of Pure Reason* (London: Penguin, 2007).

8. Harvey Siegel, *Relativism Refuted: A Critique of Contemporary Epistemological Relativism* (Dordrecht: Springer, 1987).
9. Jean Piaget, *The Construction of Reality in the Child* (New York: Basic Books, 1954).
10. Luciano Floridi, *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere Is Reshaping Human Reality* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014).
11. Manuel Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society* (Oxford: Blackwell, 2000).
12. Neil Selwyn, *Education in a Digital World* (London: Routledge, 2019).
13. John W. Moravec, *Knowmad Society* (Minneapolis: Education Futures, 2013).
14. David Buckingham, "Defining Digital Literacy," *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy* 4, no. 1 (2009): 21–34.
15. Sonia Livingstone, *Children and the Internet* (Cambridge: Polity Press, 2009).
16. Ken Robinson, *Creative Schools* (New York: Penguin, 2015).
17. Sugata Mitra, *Beyond the Hole in the Wall: Discover the Power of Self-Organized Learning* (New York: TED Books, 2013).
18. John Dewey, *Experience and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1938).
19. Dewey, *Experience and Education*, 45.
20. Paulo Freire, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, trans. Myra Bergman Ramos (New York: Continuum, 1970).
21. Michael Peters and Petar Jandrić, "Philosophy of Education in the Digital Age," *Educational Philosophy and Theory* 50, no. 10 (2018): 971–976.
22. Luciano Floridi, "The Logic of Information," *Synthese* 161 (2019): 301–326.
23. Sherry Turkle, *Reclaiming Conversation* (New York: Penguin, 2015).
24. George Siemens, "Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age," *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning* 2, no. 1 (2005).
25. Stephen Downes, *Learning Networks and Connective Knowledge* (Ottawa: NRC, 2007).
26. Siemens, "Connectivism."
27. UNESCO, *Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives* (Paris: UNESCO, 2017).
28. United Nations, *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (New York: UN, 2015).
29. Andy Hargreaves, *Sustainable Leadership* (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2005).
30. Miranda Fricker, *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007).
31. Peters and Jandrić, "Philosophy of Education in the Digital Age."
32. Buckingham, "Defining Digital Literacy."
33. Neil Postman, *Technopoly: The Surrender of Culture to Technology* (New York: Vintage, 1992).
34. Ibid., 5.
35. Floridi, *The Fourth Revolution*.
36. Turkle, *Reclaiming Conversation*.

37. James Gleick, *The Information: A History, a Theory, a Flood* (New York: Pantheon, 2011).
38. Nicholas Carr, *The Shallows: What the Internet Is Doing to Our Brains* (New York: W. W. Norton, 2010).
39. Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society*.
40. UNESCO, *Education for Sustainable Development Goals*.
41. Hargreaves, *Sustainable Leadership*.
42. Floridi, "The Logic of Information."
43. Burbules and Callister, *The Digital Revolution and the Schools*.
44. Zagzebski, *Epistemic Authority*.
45. Biesta, "Good Education in the Age of Measurement."
46. Siegel, *Relativism Refuted*.
47. Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1984).
48. Siemens, "Connectivism."
49. Downes, *Learning Networks and Connective Knowledge*.
50. Piaget, *The Construction of Reality in the Child*.
51. Dewey, *Experience and Education*.
52. Jürgen Habermas, *Knowledge and Human Interests* (Boston: Beacon Press, 1971).
53. Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition*.
54. Ibid., 6.
55. Peters and Jandrić, "Philosophy of Education in the Digital Age."
56. Buckingham, "Defining Digital Literacy."
57. Fricker, *Epistemic Injustice*.
58. Postman, *Technopoly*.
59. Robinson, *Creative Schools*.
60. Moravec, *Knowmad Society*.
61. UNESCO, *Education for Sustainable Development Goals*.
62. Standish, *Education and the Digital Age*.
63. Peters and Jandrić, "Philosophy of Education in the Digital Age."
64. Dewey, *Experience and Education*, 87