



NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY

**PHILOSOPHY, ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE, AND DIGITAL
EDUCATION**

VOLUME 35 NO. 1, OCTOBER, 2025

ISSN: 0794-0114

e-ISSN: 2971-5652

Published by

PHILOSOPHERS OF EDUCATION ASSOCIATION OF NIGERIA (PEAN)

www.peanpapers.com.ng

Formatted & Printed in Nigeria by



www.timsmek.com | info@timsmek.com | +234-703-455-9895

EDITORIAL POLICY/GUIDELINES OF NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY (NJEP)

Nigerian Journal of Educational Philosophy (NJEP) is published two times a year, April and October by Philosophers of Education Association of Nigeria (PEAN). Although, NJEP provides an outlet for the discussion of most substantive issues in educational theory and practice, it draws specifically upon philosophical insights and welcomes articles presented within a philosophical frame of reference.

Editorial Policy Guidelines for contributions: Submission of Articles

- Manuscripts: The style of reference is mainly the TURABIAN method.
- Articles should not exceed 5000 words/15 pages (including abstract of not more than 250 words), typed on A4 paper with double line spacing using Times New Roman, Font size 12. Since Articles should be sent anonymously to consulting editors for assessment/peer review, the author's name, GSM's number(s), qualification, post and address should be typed on a separate detachable page.
- Quotations: Quotations that are 40 words and above should be properly indented with ½ inch margin to the left and right respectively.
- Articles submitted to any other body/Journal for publication or already published should NOT be sent to the Editor.
- On submission, three copies of the manuscript with the evidence of payment of vetting fee (N5000) should be sent to the Editor. This is only applicable to April version of the Journal.
- On the receipt of vetting article from the Editor, soft copy of the final manuscript after the necessary corrections should be submitted to the Editor with the publication fee.
- Information on the Journal volume where the article will appear will be communicated to the author(s) immediately the article is accepted for publication.
- Note that NO vetting fee is charged on article presented in the conference by the conference attendee or conference Edition of the NJEP Journal published in October every year (conference edition is published in October).
- Also, note that April edition of NJEP will attract vetting fee of N5000.
- Plagiarism: The author should ensure that he/she avoid high percentage level of plagiarism (preferable not more than 20%)
- NJEP Journal from 2022 will have both print and online editions (www.peanpapers.com)

All correspondence should be directed to:

Editor

Prof. S. D. Osaat

Department of Educational Foundations

University of Port Harcourt, P.M.B 5323, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Sunday.osaat@uniport.edu.ng

osaatsundan@gmail.com

+234 803 673 1057

+234 703 440 6894

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor:

Prof. Sunday D. Osaat

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Associate Editors:

Prof. Chris J. A. Onwuka

Institute of Education, University of Nigerian, Nsukka.

Prof. Abeke Adesanya

Adekunle Ajasin University Akwoba, Ondo State, Nigeria

Prof. A. Owan Enoch

Faculty of Education, Cross River University of Technology Calabar, Nigeria.

Prof. A.A. Bagudo

Institute of Education and Extension Services, Usman Dan Fodio University, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

Prof. Kolu Babarrinde

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Consulting Editors:

Prof. D.E. Denton

University of Kentucky, U.S.A.

Prof. Philip Rothman

Antioch College, U.S.A

Prof. J. Nelson Omatseye

Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin city, Nigeria

Prof. Joseph D. Okoh

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.

Prof. Orona Orokah

Faculty of Education, Delta State University of Abraka, Nigeria

Prof. Oluremi Ayodele-Bamisaye

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a Tutor: The Future of Personalised Learning and Student – Teacher Dynamics in Digital Classroom

Prof C. J. A. Onwuka

Institute of Education
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

&

Eleri Nkemdirim Favour

Department of Education Foundation
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most significant innovations shaping education in the 21st century. With the rise of digital classrooms, AI is no longer a futuristic dream but an active tool redefining learning experiences. This paper explores the concept of AI as a tutor and its potential to foster personalized learning. The paper also examines the impact on student–teacher dynamics in digital classrooms. Drawing on educational philosophy and practical realities in Nigeria, the work critically assesses both the promises and ethical challenges of AI in education. The paper argues that although AI tutoring enhances personalization and access, it cannot replace the affective and moral roles of human teachers. Philosophical reflections are employed to situate AI within the broader aims of education by emphasizing the need for balance between technological innovation and humanistic values. The paper concludes with recommendations for integrating AI in Nigerian education through teacher training, ethical safeguards and sustainable practices.

Introduction

Education is the bedrock of any society. How education is organized has always reflected the values and priorities of the time. In the agrarian era, learning was largely informal and rooted in apprenticeship and oral tradition. When the industrial revolution came, mass schooling were designed to produce literate workers for factories and the civil service. In the 21st century, we live in the digital age. This is the era where the internet, social media and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has increasingly influenced how knowledge is produced, shared and consumed. Education is being reshaped by these forces. The digital classroom and the rise of AI tutors are not just innovations, they are signs of a deep paradigm shift in how we think about teaching and

learning. The subject of "Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a tutor " is particularly relevant in Nigeria and other developing nations where issues such as teacher shortages, overcrowded classrooms and uneven quality of instruction have remained persistent. A single teacher in a public secondary school may be responsible for up to 70–100 students in a class thereby making personalized attention almost impossible. In this contexts, the promise of AI tutors to adapt to each learner's pace, provide instant feedback and fill gaps in understanding sounds both appealing and urgent. Imagine a JSS2 student in Enugu struggling with mathematics. This student outside the class hours can interact with an AI tutor that explains quadratic equations step by step in simple English at her own pace. This vision highlights the transformative potential of AI for democratizing access to quality learning. However, the rise of AI in education cannot be understood in purely technical terms. It must also be situated within philosophical and ethical discourses. Education is not just about transmitting information, it is also about shaping character, instilling values and nurturing human relationships. The student–teacher dynamic is a relationship of trust, mentorship, discipline and moral example. This has always been central to education especially in African societies where communal learning and moral upbringing are deeply interwoven. Thus, if machines begin to take over tutoring roles, what will happen to these deeply human aspects of education? Can an Artificial Intelligence tutor instill discipline, empathy or a sense of civic duty? These questions go to the heart of the philosophy of education. Another important concept is "personalized learning", a paradigm that challenges the traditional one-size-fits-all classroom. In a conventional setting, a teacher delivers the same lesson to all students regardless of their different abilities, interests or learning speeds. This often results in some students excelling while others are left behind. Artificial Intelligence with its capacity to analyze data and track learning patterns also promises to revolutionize this by offering tailored instruction for every learner. For instance, platforms like uLesson, Google Classroom are popular in Nigeria to help leverage AI and digital tools and to deliver interactive lessons that adapt to individual progress. This shows how personalized learning is gradually moving from theory into practice.

Nevertheless, we must recognize that education is not reducible to efficiency. The philosopher Paulo Freire warned against the “banking model of education,” a situation where learners are treated as empty vessels to be filled with information. True education involves dialogue, critical reflection and human connection. AI tutors can provide information and guide practice but they lack the capacity for genuine dialogue, empathy and moral reasoning. The student–teacher dynamic in digital classrooms must therefore be understood not as a competition between humans and machines but as a call to redefine the teacher's role. The teacher of the future may no longer be the sole dispenser of knowledge but rather a mentor, a facilitator and an ethical guide who will work alongside Artificial Intelligent tutors rather than being replaced by them. The concept of the digital classroom provides the stage where these issues play out. A digital classroom is not just an online version of a traditional school but rather it is a reimagined

learning environment where location, time and physical presence are no longer barriers. Either we use virtual reality simulations, video conferencing or AI-driven platforms, digital classrooms. This creates opportunities for a flexible, accessible and interactive learning. Yet, they raise questions of equity. One will hear questions like: who has access to stable internet, functional devices and digital literacy? In Nigeria, there are rural areas where electricity and internet connectivity remain unstable. The digital classroom remains more of a dream than a reality. This why the discussions of AI tutors must always be grounded in the socio-economic realities of our context. This is why this paper argues that "Artificial intelligence tutors represent both promise and peril for the future of education". On one hand, they hold enormous potential for scaling personalized learning and alleviating the challenges of large classrooms and limited teacher availability. These poses ethical, philosophical and relational challenges that cannot be ignored. The goal of this paper therefore is to critically examine Artificial Intelligence as a tutor by highlighting its role in reshaping personalized learning and student–teacher dynamics in digital classrooms. It will also address the ethical dilemmas that accompany this transformation.

Conceptual Clarifications

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial intelligence refers to the branch of computer science concerned with the design and development of systems or machines that can perform tasks which normally require human intelligence. Such tasks include learning, reasoning, problem-solving, perception, decision-making and natural language processing. In educational and research contexts, AI is often defined as the ability of a computer system to simulate intelligent behaviour and adapt to new inputs and improve its performance over time through data-driven learning.

Personalized Learning

Personalized learning refers to instructions designed to address the unique needs and strengths of individual learners. Unlike the traditional one-size-fits-all approach, personalized learning uses data and adaptive systems to provide differentiated content, pacing and assessments. AI-powered platforms such as adaptive learning software embody this approach by tracking students' progress and tailoring lessons accordingly.

Student–Teacher Relationship in the Digital Age

Historically, the student–teacher relationship has been central to education. Teachers act as role models, mentors and moral guides to students. Teachers are not just transmitters of knowledge. In digital classrooms, this relationship faces transformation as technology mediates interactions. However, the human teacher's role in fostering empathy, discipline and motivation remains indispensable (Noddings, 2012).

AI Tutoring

Artificial intelligence tutoring entails the use of intelligent algorithms to provide instructional support, automate feedback and enhance learning engagement. It includes systems capable of diagnosing learning gaps, recommending resources and simulating interactive tutoring sessions. Unlike static e-learning tools, AI tutors adapt to learners' needs dynamically (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016).

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)

Intelligent Tutoring Systems are computer-based programs designed to mimic one-on-one human tutoring by providing tailored guidance. They rely on natural language processing, knowledge representation and machine learning to interact with learners. ITS have shown effectiveness in improving mathematics, science and language learning outcomes (VanLehn, 2011).

Learning Analytics Platforms (LAP)

Learning Analytics Platforms use AI to collect and analyze data on learner behaviour, engagement and performance. They help educators identify at-risk students and help optimize instructional methods and provide personalized feedback. LAP also raises concerns about surveillance and data ethics in education (Siemens, 2013).

Impact of Student–Teacher Dynamics in a Digital Classroom

The question often arises in the context of digital education: "do teachers merely tutor, or do they teach?" This distinction is far from trivial. Tutoring is often narrowly concerned with the transmission of knowledge, guiding students to master specific content, solve problems and demonstrate proficiency in well-defined areas. Teaching on the other hand has a broader horizon. It involves not only intellectual formation but also the holistic development of learners. This involves the nurturing of their moral reasoning, emotional intelligence and social awareness.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) tutors have demonstrated remarkable efficiency in tutoring and drilling learners on concepts by offering adaptive feedback and personalizing learning paths by using ITS. The truth is that they still remain deficient in the deeper human dimensions of teaching. Machines cannot embody empathy, values or the cultural depth needed to form character. In AI-mediated classrooms, the teacher's role is gradually being redefined. Rather than functioning as the sole source of knowledge, the teacher emerges as a facilitator, mentor and a moral compass. This shift raises profound philosophical questions like "is education merely about knowledge acquisition and the passing of examinations?". Is it a transformative process that cultivates the whole person? From a philosophical standpoint according to thinkers like John Dewey, Paulo Freire and Kwame Gyekye, education cannot be reduced to tutoring. Freire, for example, criticized the "banking model" of education where knowledge is simply deposited into students. He emphasized on dialogue, critical consciousness and the empowerment of learners to engage with their world. Tutoring in isolation risks falling into the trap of the banking model where learners may become knowledgeable but not necessarily skilled but not morally grounded. The Nigerian educational context highlights this tension in striking ways. Classrooms in many public schools are overcrowded, sometimes hosting 80 to 100 students under the guidance of one overstretched teacher. In such situations, meaningful teacher–student interaction is strained. AI tutors can step into this gap by providing personalized learning support by tracking progress, identifying areas of weakness and offer

tailored exercises. This can ease the burden on teachers and create more opportunities for individualized learning. This is often impossible in conventional classrooms. For instance, a mathematics teacher in Lagos or Nsukka who is faced with 90 students can integrate an AI platform that drills students on algebraic concepts while the teacher provides mentorship, discipline and motivation. In such a blended environment, AI extends the reach of education without displacing the centrality of the human teacher.

Nevertheless, the irreplaceable value of the student–teacher relationship must be emphasized. Beyond the delivery of content, teachers play a vital role in discipline, mentorship and moral education. In Nigerian schools, teachers are often regarded as "loco parentis", that is figures who step into parental roles by guiding not only academic performance but also personal conduct and values. An AI tutor may provide precise corrections on a student's grammar but it cannot counsel a child struggling with peer pressure. An AI tutor cannot inspire students with the moral authority of lived experience. Also, a machine cannot console a grieving student nor celebrate a learner's small triumphs with genuine joy neither can it model virtues such as patience, empathy and integrity. These are the affective and ethical dimensions of education that are fundamental and their absence would render education incomplete. Philosophically, therefore, the role of AI in education should be seen as complementary rather than substitutive. It enhances tutoring but cannot replace teaching. AI tutors may masterfully present content but it is only human teachers that can engage learners in the deeply personal journey of becoming. The teacher cultivates virtues, shares character and transmit cultural and moral heritage. We cannot reduce education merely to tutoring. This will impoverish its essence. Education is a relational and transformative process. The student–teacher dynamic is enriched by human empathy and moral insight. Therefore, it must therefore remain central even in digital classrooms. The dynamics between students and teachers in the digital classroom illustrate a necessary balance. AI tutors bring efficiency, scalability and personalization while human teachers sustain the moral, emotional and relational core of education. For Nigeria and other developing countries, there is need for a wise integration of both. AI must be embraced as an ally to education and not as its replacement. This is because the true task of teaching goes beyond the transfer of knowledge. It is about the shaping of lives of students.

Relatable Situations in Nigeria's Education Context

When discussing ethical challenges of AI tutors in digital classrooms, it is important to ground the analysis in Nigeria's real educational system. The Nigerian system of education have problems that are affecting it. Some of them include: underfunding, overcrowding, poor infrastructure and unequal access to resources. Introducing AI in this environment raises ethical questions that go beyond technology itself. In many Nigerian public schools especially in rural and suburban areas, one teacher may be responsible for 80–100 students in a single classroom. This situation makes personalized teaching almost impossible. At this point, AI tutors could help provide one-on-one support to learners. However, an ethical issue arises here: "will government

invest in AI or neglect the urgent need for more human teachers for better infrastructure?" From a philosophy of education perspective, this is troubling. Education is not just about passing exams but about nurturing values, character and relationships. If AI becomes a substitute instead of a supplement, we are at the risk of reducing education to a technical problem-solving area. If this is the case, we are ignoring the moral formation of the students.

Nigeria faces deep inequalities in access to technology. They still lack in the area of Digital Divide and Unequal Access of Information Technology. Take for instance an Urban private schools in Lagos, Abuja and Port Harcourt are already experimenting with smart classrooms while rural schools in states like Ebonyi or Zamfara still struggle to provide even chalkboards. If AI tutors become central to learning, children in privileged urban centers will have advanced learning opportunities while rural children will be left behind. This creates a new form of educational inequality and digital inequality. From the lens of social justice philosophy, this undermines the principle of fairness in education. John Dewey argued that education should be democratic and inclusive by giving every child equal opportunity to grow. AI could unintentionally widen the gap unless deliberate policies will be out in place to ensure equity.

Also, Unemployment and the Fear of Teacher Replacement has been a problem. Nigeria is already facing a serious unemployment crisis. Students graduates every year and struggle to find jobs. If AI tutors are presented as cheaper and more efficient, policymakers might see them as a way to reduce the number of teachers employed in schools. This raises an ethical dilemma. "should machines take over human roles in a society already battling unemployment?" Education must be human-centered and student friendly. This is why Paulo Freire warned against the "banking model" of education. This is a situation where students are treated as empty vessels to be filled. AI risks reviving this model if it prioritizes efficiency over critical dialogue. Human teachers are irreplaceable because they model values, empathy and community engagement. These are things AI cannot authentically provide for students.

Data Privacy and Surveillance in Nigerian Schools have also posed a problem. If AI tutors are adopted, students' performance data, learning habits and even biometric information may be collected. But Nigeria lacks strong laws and infrastructure for protecting digital data. The cases of cyber fraud and data leaks are rampant in banking and telecommunications industry. Imagine a situation where childrens' learning data is hacked or sold. This raises questions about "who owns educational data and how it should be ethically managed"? This is more than a technical problem. It goes beyond and it borders on the dignity and autonomy of the learner. Education should empower learners and not turn them into data points for commercial exploitation.

Cultural and Moral Dimensions of Education is yet another area that poses a problem. Nigerian education is not only about academics, it is also about transmitting cultural values, moral discipline and respect for elders. Teachers often serve as role models who correct students not just in mathematics or English but in behaviour, ethics and life decisions. AI tutors cannot

provide this moral guidance. For example, a student struggling with discipline issues in class may be corrected by a teacher who understands the child's background and community background. AI cannot do this because it lacks empathy and cultural rootedness. This reflects the argument of Gert Biesta that true education involves "coming into presence" as a unique human being and not just mastering the content.

Again, Practical Infrastructure Challenges is yet another problem. If Nigeria decides to adopt AI tutors widely, there are infrastructural barriers such as unstable electricity supply, poor internet access and low digital literacy among teachers. For instance, many rural schools still depend on kerosene lamps for evening reading while AI systems require constant power and connectivity. This creates an ethical dilemma. "should scarce resources be channeled to AI when basic needs like textbooks, trained teachers and electricity are not yet met?" This raises questions about priorities in education policy and whether they align with the genuine needs of learners.

The Nigerian situation highlights a central tension. "Should education be treated as a technical process focused on efficiency or as a human-centered activity aimed at holistic development?"

If we adopt AI uncritically, we risk treating students as machines to be optimized. But If we ignore AI completely, we deny students the benefits of technological advancement. With this, there is need for striking a balance between them. AI should serve as a "tool" and not a replacement. It ensures that the human teacher remains at the center of the classroom while AI supports personalization and efficiency.

Ethical Challenges of Artificial intelligence (AI) Tutor in Digital Classrooms

Artificial Intelligence entered education with so much promise that many see as a solution to the problems that have long troubled our classrooms. AI tutors and intelligent systems can help students learn at their own pace. It will give them instant feedback and provide access to resources that would otherwise be unavailable. These tools appear exciting but they also present ethical challenges that cannot be ignored. The philosophy of education reminds us that learning is not only about efficiency or knowledge transfer. Education is about the moral, social and human aspects of growth. If Artificial intelligence (AI) takes a seat in our classrooms, then its ethical implications must be carefully examined.

One of the greatest concerns about AI in education is the way it collects and uses student data. This is called "Data Privacy and Surveillance". Digital classrooms rely heavily on learning analytics which means recording every click, testing score and behavioural patterns. This is where LAP comes in to check for feedback on the student. This data helps the system personalize learning but this also raises the question of who owns this information and how safe is it? In Nigeria, where data protection laws are still developing, students' personal records could easily be misused or fall into the wrong hands. For instance, many schools already uses third-

party apps without proper privacy policies. Imagine sensitive details about a child's weaknesses, home background and financial status being exposed online because of weak systems. This will undermine the learner's autonomy and dignity and education should empower students and not turn them into objects of surveillance.

Another ethical challenge is the risk of students becoming too dependent on Artificial intelligence (AI)tutors. This is over-dependence on AI and the Risk of Passive Learning. When an intelligent system can instantly provide answers, it will also guide practice exercises and even grade assignments. Learners may be tempted to let the machine think for them. This will create a danger of intellectual laziness. A situation where students no longer wrestle with ideas, reflect deeply or develop problem-solving skills. Thinkers such as John Dewey emphasized the value of active learning and critical inquiry. Education is meant to sharpen reasoning and not to replace it with automated responses. In the Nigerian context where critical thinking is already weak in many schools due to rote memorization, over-reliance on AI could worsen the problem. This is why we must produce reflective citizens who can address the country's democratic and social challenges. If not, we may end up with graduates who are skilled in clicking but unskilled in critical thinking.

Perhaps the most profound ethical concern is the absence of human empathy in AI tutoring. This is Human Empathy versus Machine Interaction. Teaching is not just about explaining formulas or marking scripts. It is also about encouragement, emotional support and moral guidance. A teacher notices when a child is discouraged, he will comfort them. The teacher even inspires hope to the student beyond the classroom. There is no advanced machine, can replace this human dimension. Philosophers of education like Gert Biesta remind us that education is not merely about qualification and acquiring skills. It is also about socialization and subjectification. This will help a student become a personality who can relate and act responsibly and make moral decisions. In Nigeria where many students come from challenging home backgrounds due to poverty, instability or even trauma. The teacher's role as a moral and emotional guide cannot be outsourced to AI. This is because its algorithm may deliver knowledge but cannot form character which only humans can.

Ethical questions also arise from the issue of fairness. Nigeria already struggles with unequal access to quality education. Urban schools are more likely to have internet access, devices and skilled teachers. Rural schools often lack basic electricity or textbooks. If AI tutors become the future of education, what happens to children in rural villages who cannot even afford a smartphone talk more of an internet connection? The digital divide threatens to widen existing inequalities thereby making education a privilege for the connected few rather than a right for all. This violates the principle of justice and equity that should guide any educational reform. Plato's idea of justice in society implies giving every individual an equal opportunity to

develop their abilities. If Artificial intelligence (AI) is introduced without addressing inequality, it will exclude the learners who need the support most.

At the heart of these ethical challenges lies a deeper philosophical question, "what is the purpose of education?" If education is reduced to technical efficiency, students will master content quickly with the help of machines with Artificial intelligence (AI) tutors may seem adequate. Education is about nurturing the whole person by developing moral character and forming citizens who are capable of contributing to the society. Artificial Intelligence (AI) must be seen only as a tool and not a substitute. A humanistic perspective reminds us that education is fundamentally relational. It is about human beings engaging with one another with knowledge and with the world around them. Overreliance on Artificial Intelligence (AI) risks turning education into a transactional process of input and output while ignoring the deeper processes of dialogue, reflection and moral growth.

Recent events in Nigeria make these concerns even more pressing. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed how unprepared many schools were for digital learning. Wealthy private schools quickly switched to online platforms while many public schools shut down for months, leaving millions of children at home without learning. This experience shows how technology if not carefully managed may deepen inequality instead of solving it. At the same time, we see the rising interest of policymakers in adopting AI-driven solutions from digital testing to automated grading systems. These initiatives must be guided by ethical reflection to ensure that they do not compromise the humanity of education. Nigerian education already faces crises of strikes, overcrowding and underfunding. Adding Artificial intelligence (AI) without addressing the ethical questions could create new problems instead of solving old ones. The ethical challenges of AI tutors in digital classrooms are not just technical issues, some are deeply philosophical. They raise questions about human dignity, fairness, autonomy and the very meaning of education itself. Artificial intelligence (AI) can be a valuable partner by easing the burden of overworked teachers and helping them personalize instruction. However, it must never be allowed to overshadow the irreplaceable role of human teachers as mentors, moral guides and nation-builders. True education requires both knowledge and character while machines may deliver the former, only human beings can nurture the latter.

Conclusion

The paper has examined Artificial intelligence (AI) as a tutor in the context of personalized learning and student–teacher dynamics in digital classrooms. The paper highlights that while AI enhances personalization and efficiency, it cannot substitute the human role in fostering empathy, discipline and holistic growth. Education should remain a human-centered process with AI serving as a tool rather than a replacement. The growing presence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital tools in education has raised important questions about the balance between human agency and machine efficiency. In as much as these machines offer speed, accuracy and

scalability, education at its core is a deeply human process which shapes not only the intellect but also the character and social values of learners. A careful balance must therefore be struck between the benefits of digital education and the irreplaceable role of human teachers. Machines, particularly Artificial intelligence AI-powered tutors and the use of ITS bring efficiency and personalization to education. They can analyze data and adapt lessons to individual learners. They also provide immediate feedback and manage large amounts of information beyond human capacity. In a situation where classrooms are overcrowded and this is common in Nigeria, Artificial intelligence (AI) can supplement the teacher by offering personalized support to students who might otherwise be overlooked. Machines also help in bridging geographical and infrastructural gaps by providing access to online learning platforms, virtual labs and digital resources.

Despite these advantages, over-dependence on machines poses risks. AI systems may promote rote learning if not properly guided and they lack the ability to understand cultural nuances, ethical dilemmas or emotional struggles of students. Furthermore, digital education can deepen inequalities if access to technology is limited to urban and wealthy communities thereby leaving rural learners behind. If machines dominate education, students may acquire technical skills and lack human virtues such as empathy, creativity and moral judgment. The ideal approach is complementary rather than replacing it. Human teachers and machines should collaborate by fulfilling roles aligned with their strengths. Machines can handle repetitive tasks such as grading, tracking progress and delivering personalized drills thereby allowing teachers to focus on mentorship, dialogue and the moral development of students. For example, Artificial intelligence(AI) can help diagnose learning difficulties early but the human teacher must interpret these results and provide emotional and cultural guidance to the learner. Balancing human and machine also requires attention to ethics. Data privacy, autonomy of the learner and the preservation of human dignity are non-negotiable. The challenge therefore is to ensure that technology does not reduce education to a transactional process but rather enhance its transformative potential. The digital classroom should be a space where technology amplifies and does not overshadow the teacher's role in shaping character and wisdom.

Practical Pathways to Balance this includes Teacher Training. Here, Teachers should be empowered with digital literacy to integrate Artificial intelligence (AI) effectively. Hybrid Learning Models. Blended learning by combining face-to-face human teaching with digital resources will offer a practical balance. Culturally Relevant AI Tools can be used to develop systems that reflect local contexts, languages and values. This ensures that machines complement each other rather than replace human input. Policy Frameworks like Governments and institutions should adopt policies that clearly define the role of Artificial intelligence (AI) in education to avoid misuse or over-reliance. Student-Centered Approach where students should be encouraged to critically engage with technology and not just to consume its outputs. The balance in digital education reflects Aristotle's principle of the "Golden Mean", that is the virtue

of moderation between extremes. Too much dependence on machines leads to loss of human depth. But a total rejection of technology prevents access to progress. The task of educators therefore is to cultivate harmony between human wisdom and technological efficiency by ensuring that machines serve humanity without diminishing the essence of human education.

Recommendations

To fully harness the potential of Artificial Intelligence in Nigerian classrooms while minimizing its risks, deliberate and context-sensitive steps must be taken. The following recommendations are suggested:

1. **Policy Frameworks and Guidelines:** The Federal Ministry of Education should develop clear policies that regulate the use of AI in teaching and learning. Such policies must cover data protection, ethical standards, teacher roles and student rights to ensure that Artificial Intelligence (AI) complements rather than replaces human teaching. Without this, AI adoption may worsen inequality or compromise student privacy.
2. **Capacity Building for Teachers:** Teachers must be trained not only in digital literacy but also in how to integrate AI into pedagogy without losing the human dimension of teaching. This can be done in Workshops, continuous professional development programs and partnerships with technology companies can prepare educators to use AI responsibly and creatively.
3. **Infrastructure Development:** Nigeria must invest in reliable electricity, internet connectivity and digital devices in schools especially in rural areas. Without addressing infrastructural gaps, AI in education will remain a privilege for a few urban schools while rural learners are left behind.
4. **Blended Learning Models:** Instead of seeing AI as a substitute for teachers, schools should adopt blended learning where AI tutors handle repetitive tasks like assessments and feedback while human teachers focus on mentoring, discipline and character formation. This balance ensures efficiency without losing the moral and emotional role of education.
5. **Local Content Development:** AI tools should be adapted to reflect Nigerian realities, cultures, and languages. For instance, chatbots or AI tutors that understand Pidgin English, Igbo, Yoruba or Hausa would make digital learning more inclusive and relatable.
6. **Equity and Accessibility Measures:** Government and private stakeholders should prioritize initiatives that make AI tools affordable and accessible. Subsidized data, provision of low-cost devices and community-based digital learning centers can prevent the deepening of the digital divide.
7. **Ethical Safeguards:** Schools and policymakers must establish ethical safeguards to ensure AI use does not undermine critical thinking, creativity or student privacy. For example, strict rules must be placed on data collection with transparency on how students' information is stored and used.

8. Collaborative Partnerships: Partnerships between government, universities, edtech companies and international organizations can drive innovation while keeping Nigerian classrooms aligned with global best practices. Such collaboration would also enable contextual research on how AI affects student–teacher dynamics in local schools.
9. Philosophical Reorientation: Beyond technical concerns, stakeholders must remember that education is not only about efficiency or test scores but also about moral development, empathy and social responsibility. AI should therefore be treated as a tool and not the essence of education. Human teachers remain central to the transformative purpose of schooling.

Bibliography

- Adegbite, W. (2021). Digital transformation and the future of education in Nigeria. Lagos: Spectrum Books.
- Akinyemi, T. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the Nigerian classroom: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of African Educational Research and Development*, 14(2), 45–60.
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. New York: Macmillan.
- Ezeugwu, E. C. (2020). Philosophy of education in a digital age: Reflections on Nigeria's learning system. *Nigerian Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 8(1), 22–39.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Continuum.
- Ifejika, P., & Umezuruike, C. (2023). Equity and access in digital education: Lessons for Nigerian schools. *African Journal of Educational Philosophy*, 12(3), 88–104.
- National Policy on ICT in Education. (2019). Abuja: Federal Ministry of Education.
- Nwankwo, C. B. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in bridging educational inequality in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Policy and Practice*, 5(1), 17–30.
- OECD. (2021). *AI in education: Policy implications and recommendations*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Okafor, F. C. (1988). *Philosophy of education and third world perspective*. Enugu: Star Publishing.
- Olanrewaju, T. (2022). Teacher training for digital pedagogy in Africa: Challenges and solutions. *International Review of Education*, 68(4), 521–538.
- Plato. (2000). *The Republic* (T. Griffith, Trans.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (Original work published ca. 380 B.C.E.).
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3–10.
- UNESCO. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.