



**NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY**

**PHILOSOPHY, ARTIFICIAL  
INTELLIGENCE, AND DIGITAL  
EDUCATION**

**VOLUME 35 NO. 2, OCTOBER, 2025**

**ISSN: 0794-0114**

**e-ISSN: 2971-5652**

Published by

**PHILOSOPHERS OF EDUCATION ASSOCIATION OF NIGERIA (PEAN)**

[www.peanpapers.com.ng](http://www.peanpapers.com.ng)

Formatted & Printed in Nigeria by



[www.timsmek.com](http://www.timsmek.com) | [info@timsmek.com](mailto:info@timsmek.com) | +234-703-455-9895

## **EDITORIAL POLICY/GUIDELINES OF NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY (NJEP)**

Nigerian Journal of Educational Philosophy (NJEP) is published two times a year, April and October by Philosophers of Education Association of Nigeria (PEAN). Although, NJEP provides an outlet for the discussion of most substantive issues in educational theory and practice, it draws specifically upon philosophical insights and welcomes articles presented within a philosophical frame of reference.

### **Editorial Policy Guidelines for contributions: Submission of Articles**

- Manuscripts: The style of reference is mainly the TURABIAN method.
- Articles should not exceed 5000 words/15 pages (including abstract of not more than 250 words), typed on A4 paper with double line spacing using Times New Roman, Font size 12. Since Articles should be sent anonymously to consulting editors for assessment/peer review, the author's name, GSM's number(s), qualification, post and address should be typed on a separate detachable page.
- Quotations: Quotations that are 40 words and above should be properly indented with ½ inch margin to the left and right respectively.
- Articles submitted to any other body/Journal for publication or already published should NOT be sent to the Editor.
- On submission, three copies of the manuscript with the evidence of payment of vetting fee (N5000) should be sent to the Editor. This is only applicable to April version of the Journal.
- On the receipt of vetting article from the Editor, soft copy of the final manuscript after the necessary corrections should be submitted to the Editor with the publication fee.
- Information on the Journal volume where the article will appear will be communicated to the author(s) immediately the article is accepted for publication.
- Note that NO vetting fee is charged on article presented in the conference by the conference attendee or conference Edition of the NJEP Journal published in October every year (conference edition is published in October).
- Also, note that April edition of NJEP will attract vetting fee of N5000.
- Plagiarism: The author should ensure that he/she avoid high percentage level of plagiarism (preferable not more than 20%)
- NJEP Journal from 2022 will have both print and online editions ([www.peanpapers.com](http://www.peanpapers.com))

All correspondence should be directed to:

### **Editor**

Prof. S. D. Osaat

Department of Educational Foundations

University of Port Harcourt, P.M.B 5323, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

[Sunday.osaat@uniport.edu.ng](mailto:Sunday.osaat@uniport.edu.ng)

[osaatsundan@gmail.com](mailto:osaatsundan@gmail.com)

+234 803 673 1057

+234 703 440 6894

## **EDITORIAL BOARD**

### **Editor:**

**Prof. Sunday D. Osaat**

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

### **Associate Editors:**

**Prof. Chris J. A. Onwuka**

Institute of Education, University of Nigerian, Nsukka.

**Prof. Abeke Adesanya**

Adekunle Ajasin University Akwoba, Ondo State, Nigeria

**Prof. A. Owan Enoh**

Faculty of Education, Cross River University of Technology Calabar, Nigeria.

**Prof. A.A. Bagudo**

Institute of Education and Extension Services, Usman Dan Fodio University, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

**Prof. Kolu Babarrinde**

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

### **Consulting Editors:**

**Prof. D.E. Denton**

University of Kentucky, U.S.A.

**Prof. Philip Rothman**

Antioch College, U.S.A

**Prof. J. Nelson Omatseye**

Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin city, Nigeria

**Prof. Joseph D. Okoh**

Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.

**Prof. Orona Orokah**

Faculty of Education, Delta State University of Abraka, Nigeria

**Prof. Oluremi Ayodele-Bamisaye**

Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan.

# ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDUCATION: MEANING, CONCERNS AND PROSPECTS

BY

**ADENIGBAGBE, Henry Adeloye. PhD**

Department of Educational Foundations and Counselling  
University of Ilesa, Osun State, [henry\\_adenigbagbe@unilesa.edu.ng](mailto:henry_adenigbagbe@unilesa.edu.ng)  
[henryade2013@gmail.com](mailto:henryade2013@gmail.com), +234-8034710190

## **Abstract:**

This study explores the philosophical implications of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational systems, with a focus on its meaning, emerging concerns, and future prospects. Through a normative philosophical lens, the research critically examines whether AI technologies align with or undermine the foundational goals of education. Drawing on epistemological, ethical, and ontological frameworks, the study investigates how AI affects knowledge construction, the teacher-student relationship, and the role of human agency. While AI offers promising benefits—such as personalized learning and enhanced accessibility—it also raises critical concerns related to surveillance, algorithmic bias, and the potential erosion of human-centered educational values. The findings suggest that although AI can complement education by increasing efficiency and individualization, its unchecked application risks reducing learning to mechanical processes and marginalizing ethical and interpersonal dimensions of teaching. The study concludes by advocating for a cautious, philosophically informed integration of AI, supported by educational policies that uphold justice, critical thinking, and the moral development of learners. Recommendations emphasize the need for ongoing dialogue between educators, technologists, and policymakers to ensure that AI serves the holistic and democratic aims of education.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Philosophy of Education, Human-Centered Learning, Educational Technology, Epistemology.

## **Introduction**

Education is fundamental to the advancement of human civilization. Throughout history, the methods of learning have consistently evolved, influenced by emerging technologies. Traditionally, education has been delivered within the confines of classrooms, where a teacher imparts knowledge to a group of students. However, with the rise of the internet and digital technologies, online learning platforms are gradually replacing conventional classrooms. Consequently, the contemporary education system has eliminated the spatial constraints of traditional learning environments. By offering educational resources through online platforms, this modern system has successfully attracted a diverse array of students and educators engaged in technology-enhanced learning. Unbound by limitations of

time, space, or numbers, the appeal of online education continues to grow. As it adapts to maintain educational quality, online learning presents numerous advantages, including flexibility, reduced costs, and an extensive variety of content (Mahendra, 2023; Allen & Seaman, 2017).

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has, however, sparked intense debate among educators, policymakers, and philosophers in Nigeria. While AI possesses the potential to transform teaching and learning, it also raises fundamental questions about the nature of knowledge, learning, and the role of teachers (Okonkwo, 2022). This study explores the philosophical implications of AI in education, with a focus on the Nigerian context.

Over the past few decades, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has profoundly influenced numerous sectors, including education, becoming an increasingly integral part of daily life. Educational training has undergone a series of transformative changes under the influence of AI, which offers opportunities to reshape and enhance both teaching and learning processes (U.S. Department of Education, 2023; Ahmad *et al.*, 2024). According to Russell and Norvig (2020), it refers to the creation of robots and systems that can mimic intelligent human behavior, including learning, reasoning, and problem-solving. It is necessary to look at how artificial intelligence is affecting education as it now exists and how we can apply this finding to improve the experiences of both instructors and students.

Enabling robots to display traits exclusive to human intellect is the core objective of artificial intelligence. In order to allow machines to learn on their own and improve their performance, complex mathematical models and algorithms are applied. One of the most crucial features of artificial intelligence is its ability to learn.. Intelligent systems are made to learn from experience and data, find patterns and trends, and get better over time. This is accomplished using AI calculations and counterfeit brain organizations, which permit machines to handle data, distinguish examples and go with forecasts or choices in view of them. Another important aspect of artificial intelligence is reasoning. Astute frameworks can utilize accessible data to settle on intelligent choices and take care of mind boggling issues. They can utilize rules and calculations to dissect information, extricate pertinent data and produce wanted results or arrangements (Mureşan, 2023).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) employs intelligent systems to tackle a wide array of problems, including mathematical computations, logical reasoning, and information processing. These systems utilize specific algorithms and techniques—such as search algorithms, heuristics, and optimization methods—to identify optimal solutions or make decisions aligned with defined goals and constraints (GeeksforGeeks, 2024).

*Weak AI* and *Strong AI* are the two main categories into which AI is typically divided. Narrow AI, sometimes referred to as weak AI, is made to handle particular tasks like machine translation or speech recognition. These systems lack awareness and true knowledge and function only in a restricted environment. Strong AI, also known as Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), on the other hand, describes systems that possess general cognitive skills

similar to human intelligence and are able to comprehend, acquire, and apply information in a variety of fields. However, Strong AI has not yet been implemented and is still only a theoretical idea (Built In. n.d).

In summary, artificial intelligence refers to the development of computers and systems that can mimic intelligent human behavior and that can learn, adapt, and improve on their own via the use of complex mathematical models and algorithms.

### **AI: A Conceptualization.**

There are a lot of different ways to define artificial intelligence. According to the Mahendra (2023), a computer with artificial intelligence (AI) can reason, comprehend languages, solve problems, diagnose illnesses, drive cars, play chess, and create impressionistic paintings of Vincent van Gogh's works. When a computer system is able to do tasks that are usually associated with intelligent individuals, the term "AI" is commonly used to describe it. Since artificial intelligence is the process of building robots that can act correctly and preemptively in their surroundings, it is now frequently referred to as a scientific field. The first explicit definition of artificial intelligence was proposed in a 1955 funding request to the Rockefeller Foundation, based on the "conjecture that every aspect of learning or any other feature of intelligence can in principle be so precisely described that a machine can be made to simulate it" (Nilsson, 2009).

The initial definition of artificial intelligence quickly gave rise to substantial debate. In practical terms, Early AI researchers reduced human intelligence to the calculation of truth values by interpreting thinking and intelligence as the mechanical processing of logical assertions. This view was in line with attempts to codify mathematics using just syntactic methods and logical positivism. But it also brought up important issues about the philosophical underpinnings of AI (Heinämaa & Tuomi, 1989).

The subsequent section introduces a revised framework for understanding the nature of AI. This framework aims to identify the varying capabilities related to learning exhibited by different types of artificial intelligence. Commonly recognized attributes of AI include adaptability, learning, and anticipatory behavior. To support this approach, a theoretical model of human learning and action is adopted—specifically, a three-level model grounded in socio-historical activity theory and similar frameworks (Harré, Clarke, & De Carlo, 1985).

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become more and more ingrained in our everyday lives in recent years, having a significant impact on a number of industries, including education. The introduction of artificial intelligence has brought about major changes in the educational scene, offering chances to innovate and adapt the methods of instruction. Examining how artificial intelligence is affecting education as we know it and how these discoveries might improve the lives of teachers and students alike are crucial. The definition and properties of artificial intelligence are examined at the outset of this research. Artificial intelligence is essentially the development of robots and systems that can simulate intelligent human

characteristics including learning, thinking, and problem-solving. Effective operation in this subject depends on the use of complex mathematical models and algorithms.

Teaching and learning might be completely transformed by incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) into Nigeria's educational system. Teachers may devote more time to intricate pedagogical activities by using AI-driven adaptive learning technology to provide individualized training that is catered to each student's requirements (Oluwaseun, 2022). Notwithstanding these benefits, there are serious ethical and philosophical questions raised by the use of AI in education. These include concerns about a possible deterioration in students' critical thinking skills and the dehumanization of the educational process (Adeyemi, 2023). Additionally, the emergence of AI has sparked fundamental questions about the nature and construction of knowledge. By shifting the educational focus toward efficiency, automation, and data-driven outcomes, AI challenges conventional understandings of knowledge acquisition and underscores the growing importance of creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills (Ogunniyi, 2022). Nonetheless, this shift also necessitates a reevaluation of the teacher's role, raising concerns about diminished human interaction and the erosion of the educator's place in the learning environment (Adeyinka, 2022).

### **Use of Artificial Intelligence in Education.**

In the field of education, artificial intelligence is being used more and more. It is clear that artificial intelligence (AI) offers a plethora of fascinating prospects for improving students' learning outcomes and is positioned to be a key component in accomplishing modern educational goals. We will examine some of the possible benefits that AI may offer to educators and students in this context.

Artificial Intelligence contributes to education by enabling personalized learning experiences, offering immediate feedback, and streamlining the assessment process. By integrating AI into online learning platforms, instructional materials and activities may be customized to meet the unique requirements and skill levels of every student. AI may be used by learning management systems to track student progress, provide automatic feedback, and provide individualized suggestions. As a result, depending on their individual needs, students can access pertinent information and resources. Additionally, social media platforms may help students interact with their teachers and friends, while virtual reality can help students collaborate and work as a team.

Additionally, AI may help develop interactive virtual assistants and tutorials that help students by responding to their questions, offering more details, and assisting them in real-time as they progress through the learning process. By using these tools, students may get extra help and study at their own speed while getting prompt advice to improve their education (Mahendra, Sanksshep, 2023).

AI can automate a number of laborious administrative duties associated with teaching and learning, including assessment. This includes assigning grades, providing comments on

students' work, and spotting instances of plagiarism. AI systems may be taught to identify trends and assess students' answers in a variety of topic areas. This improves the learning process overall by giving teachers timely and thorough feedback on students' performance.

### **AI and the Occupations of the Future.**

The workforce's adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) is changing sectors and the nature of work in profound and intricate ways. The automation of activities, especially repetitive or manual ones, is one of AI's most obvious and immediate effects. Numerous jobs that were previously completed by human workers have already been replaced by AI-powered robots and collaborative robotic systems in industries like manufacturing. This change raises worries about job displacement and the need for workforce adaptability because it not only boosts efficiency but also lowers the demand for human labor in some places. A significant change in labor dynamics is being brought about by businesses like Tesla implementing intelligent humanoid robots like Optimus to fill skill and labor gaps in industrial settings (Malik, Masood, & Brem, 2023).

At the same time, AI is not only displacing certain types of jobs but also creating new roles, especially in programming, sales, and marketing. IBM, for example, has reported that while AI has replaced hundreds of roles within the company, it has also created demand for new positions requiring advanced digital skills (The Wall Street Journal, 2023). This dual effect highlights the importance of professional development and lifelong learning as strategies for individuals to remain relevant in a rapidly evolving job market. As emphasized by Innopharma Education (n.d.), AI's impact is multifaceted, involving both the automation of routine work and the emergence of new, more complex occupational roles that demand creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving.

The educational system plays a critical role in preparing society for these changes. To meet the demands of an AI-influenced labor market, education must evolve to emphasize not just technical skills, but also human-centric competencies that AI cannot easily replicate. Mäkelä and Stephany (2024) argue that as AI complements rather than entirely replaces human labor, the future workforce will need to cultivate skills that enhance their collaborative potential with AI systems. These include adaptability, ethical reasoning, emotional intelligence, and innovative thinking. Education systems must therefore support continuous learning and ensure that both current and future workers are equipped to navigate the transformations brought about by artificial intelligence.

Robots are being used by several businesses worldwide to enhance their manufacturing procedures. In fact, it was predicted two years ago that investment in this sector would rise from \$373 million to \$12.3 billion in 2025, a 20-fold increase. Manufacturers found it challenging to adjust to new problems as a result of the epidemic. Thus, the number of these robots is increasing. Significant influence may be made in two areas: performance enhancement and decision assistance (Cosman, 2021).



By offering prompt analysis and insights, artificial intelligence may assist human specialists. AI systems are capable of swiftly and effectively processing and analyzing vast volumes of data. As a result, they may provide guidance and unique solutions, assisting in the decision-making process in fields like marketing, finance, and healthcare. Human resources workers can also get powerful support to enhance their performance and deliver high-quality, equitable services.

While certain occupations are becoming obsolete because to the advancement of intelligence, new career possibilities are also being created. Human intelligence systems require data engineers, programmers, analysts, and specialists to develop and deploy. These experts are crucial to the development, deployment, and upkeep of AI systems. As a result, employment opportunities in this and related areas may arise as technology advances. As is already known, the arrival of intelligence has the potential to both replace and eliminate some current occupations. Artificial intelligence, for instance, can handle some activities in the healthcare industry, such as deciphering patient data or evaluating medical photographs. This enhances the value of health professionals' work by enabling them to concentrate on clinical concerns and patient interactions. As a result, skills are still evolving, with AI systems replacing some tasks and human specialists concentrating on more intricate and practical areas (Mureşan, 2023).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has increasingly become a valuable tool in supporting human expertise across various fields. By processing and analyzing vast amounts of data efficiently, AI systems can offer timely insights and specialized solutions, thereby enhancing decision-making processes in sectors such as healthcare, finance, marketing, and human resources (Topol, 2019). In healthcare, for instance, AI technologies can analyze medical images or interpret patient data, allowing health professionals to devote more attention to clinical and interpersonal aspects of patient care (Jiang et al., 2017). These developments contribute to improved service delivery and performance while ensuring that human judgment and empathy remain central in professional interactions.

Simultaneously, AI-driven advancements are reshaping the labor market. While automation renders some job roles obsolete, it also creates new opportunities, particularly in fields such as data science, machine learning engineering, and AI system development. The growth of AI-related industries has increased demand for professionals like data engineers, programmers, and analysts, whose roles are essential in designing and maintaining intelligent systems (Bughin et al., 2018). This transformation signifies a shift in workforce requirements, emphasizing the need for digital competencies and technical literacy.

As AI systems assume responsibility for repetitive and routine tasks, human professionals are being redirected toward more complex, strategic functions. In healthcare, for instance, while AI may handle image analysis, clinicians can focus on personalized patient care and critical diagnostics (He et al., 2019). This redistribution of roles highlights the necessity for reskilling and continuous professional development.

In response to these changes, continuous learning and the development of new skills have become essential. Lifelong learning, especially in digital technologies, is vital for workers aiming to remain competitive and relevant in the evolving job market (World Economic Forum, 2020). This enhances the value of health professionals' work by enabling them to concentrate on clinical concerns and patient interactions. As a result, skills are still evolving, with AI systems replacing some tasks and human specialists concentrating on more intricate and practical areas (Mureşan, 2023).

Moreover, AI's integration necessitates that education promotes not only technical training but also innovation and ethical reasoning. Encouraging critical thinking in AI-related education helps students navigate the complex implications of intelligent technologies and develop creative solutions for new challenges (Harvard Graduate School of Education, 2021). This approach fosters adaptability and intellectual resilience, both of which are indispensable in the future job market.

Additionally, a multidisciplinary approach is crucial. As AI systems influence legal, ethical, and social dimensions of society, it is important that professionals across disciplines understand these broader impacts. Educational programs must therefore foster interdisciplinary collaboration and social awareness in tandem with technical skills (James Madison University, 2024).

Finally, as AI continues to evolve, education must focus on developing uniquely human capabilities—such as empathy, communication, creativity, and moral judgment—which remain difficult for machines to replicate. Prioritizing these skills within educational frameworks ensures that individuals are not only prepared for technological change but are also capable of shaping it in ethically grounded ways (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

## **Artificial Intelligence and the Philosophy of Education**

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education has become a critical subject of philosophical inquiry, especially as it raises questions about the purpose, nature, and ethics of education in an increasingly digital age. In *Artificial Intelligence and the Philosophy of Education*, scholars examine the intersection between AI technologies and foundational educational philosophies, particularly those stemming from thinkers such as John Dewey, Paulo Freire, and Immanuel Kant.

One of the central concerns addressed in this discourse is the way AI impacts the traditional teacher-student relationship. AI-powered tools like intelligent tutoring systems, automated grading software, and adaptive learning platforms promise enhanced efficiency and personalization (Luckin et al., 2016). However, from a Deweyan perspective, this shift may erode the experiential, democratic, and interactive elements that are core to meaningful education. Dewey emphasized learning through doing and reflective engagement, rather than passive reception of information—an approach that AI may inadvertently weaken if not integrated thoughtfully (Peters, 2020).

Furthermore, the philosophical critique extends to the epistemological implications of AI. AI systems are often built upon behavioral data and statistical predictions, which raises concerns about the narrowing of knowledge into what is quantifiable or programmable. This potentially conflicts with broader educational goals that include moral reasoning, critical thinking, and holistic development (Selwyn, 2019). In this context, the work of Freire becomes relevant. Freire's notion of education as a practice of freedom stands in contrast to the mechanization of learning processes, where students risk being treated as data points rather than active participants in their own development (Freire, 1970).

Additionally, the ethical dimensions of AI in education are scrutinized. There are valid concerns regarding surveillance, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the loss of human agency (Williamson & Eynon, 2020). These issues raise foundational questions about justice, fairness, and autonomy—core themes in both Kantian and contemporary moral philosophy. As Kant argued, education should aim to develop individuals who can act according to rational moral laws they give to themselves—not merely to optimize behavior through external systems (Kant, 1803/2007).

In light of these considerations, many scholars advocate for a cautious and critically engaged approach to AI in education. As Peters (2020) suggests, AI should not be seen merely as a tool for efficiency, but as a transformative force that must be guided by sound pedagogical and philosophical reasoning. Educational institutions are thus encouraged to embed ethical training, philosophical literacy, and humanistic inquiry into their AI strategies to ensure that technology serves human flourishing rather than replacing the human elements of teaching and learning.

## **Methodology**

This study employed a **philosophical research design**, grounded in conceptual and normative analysis. The research critically examines the nature, concerns, and future prospects of Artificial Intelligence in education through the lens of major philosophical traditions such as constructivism, pragmatism, and humanism. Using philosophical argumentation, the study interrogates the epistemological and ethical implications of AI technologies on pedagogy, the teacher-student relationship, and the purpose of education itself. Data for the study consisted of secondary sources—peer-reviewed literature, policy documents, and philosophical texts—which were analyzed using critical and interpretive reasoning. This approach enabled a nuanced exploration of how AI redefines traditional educational values and what normative frameworks should guide its integration.

## **Philosophical Arguments (Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education: Harmful or Beneficial?)**

The ongoing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational systems has sparked an important philosophical debate regarding its overall benefit or harm to the core values of education. This section engages critically with the question of whether AI enhances or undermines the goals of education by examining its implications through key philosophical

lenses—epistemology, ethics, and the philosophy of education. While AI introduces promising possibilities for personalization and efficiency in learning, it also raises profound concerns about the nature of knowledge, the integrity of the teacher-student relationship, and the future role of human agency in educational contexts. Through a normative philosophical approach, this section explores whether AI technologies ultimately align with or diverge from the humanistic purposes of education.

First, from an **epistemological perspective**, AI complicates the traditional understanding of knowledge. Knowledge in education has historically been viewed as something transmitted through human interaction—teachers guiding students through critical thinking, dialogue, and contextual understanding (Freire, 1970). However, AI systems—particularly adaptive learning tools—automate this process by recommending content based on algorithmic patterns and user behavior. While this increases efficiency and personalization, it also raises concerns about **reductionism**, where knowledge is reduced to data points, and learning becomes a process of consumption rather than reflection (Selwyn, 2019). In this sense, AI challenges the **constructivist view of education**, which holds that knowledge is constructed through active engagement and social interaction (Piaget, 1972; Vygotsky, 1978).

Second, from an **ethical standpoint**, the deployment of AI in education demands careful scrutiny. The use of facial recognition in classrooms, learning analytics to monitor student progress, or automated grading systems raises concerns about **student privacy, consent, and surveillance** (Williamson & Piattoeva, 2021). Moreover, the potential for AI to reinforce **biases embedded in algorithms**—especially those developed in non-African contexts—may lead to unjust outcomes and systemic inequality in Nigerian educational settings (Eubanks, 2018). This underscores the need for ethical frameworks that prioritize **fairness, transparency, and accountability** in the design and use of AI systems in education.

Third, the **ontological implications** of AI also warrant attention. Philosophically, education is not merely about the transmission of information but about **the formation of the self**—developing autonomy, moral character, and critical consciousness (Noddings, 2005). The increasing reliance on AI for instruction, feedback, and even emotional support risks diminishing the **humanistic dimensions** of education. The teacher-student relationship, long regarded as central to the educational process, may be devalued when AI is seen as a substitute for mentorship, empathy, and care. This instrumental view of education, where efficiency and outcome metrics dominate, undermines the **existential and moral purposes of education** as envisioned by thinkers like John Dewey and Paulo Freire. Furthermore, the **prospects of AI in education**, especially in the Nigerian context, must be balanced against infrastructural realities and cultural considerations. While AI promises increased access to education through digital platforms, this assumes the existence of reliable internet, electricity, and digital literacy—resources that remain unequally distributed across urban and rural Nigeria (Afolabi et al., 2022). The philosophical ideal of **educational justice** compels us to question whether AI will widen or bridge existing inequalities. Therefore, any endorsement

of AI must be accompanied by policies that ensure **equitable access and contextual appropriateness**.

Finally, from a **futures-oriented philosophy**, education should not be a passive recipient of technological change but an active shaper of how technology is used. AI should not dictate the goals of education; rather, educational values should shape how AI is developed and implemented. As Biesta (2010) argues, education must maintain its democratic role by fostering subjectivity, responsibility, and the capacity for independent thought—traits that cannot be engineered or outsourced to machines.

### **Implications/Concerns**

The philosophical inquiry into the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education reveals a complex interplay of benefits and harms, depending on how AI is conceptualized, deployed, and governed. The study reveals key insights through critical engagement with AI's impact on epistemology, ethics, ontology, and future-oriented educational philosophy.

1. **Epistemological Implications:** The study found that AI's role in education complicates traditional understandings of knowledge. AI, through adaptive learning tools, shifts knowledge from a human-mediated process involving critical thinking, dialogue, and contextual understanding, to one driven by algorithms and data patterns. This shift risks reducing knowledge to mere data points, thus undermining the constructivist view of learning, where knowledge is built through active engagement and social interaction. The personalized nature of AI, while efficient, may limit reflective and critical learning, which are central to traditional educational practices (Freire, 1970; Piaget, 1972; Vygotsky, 1978).
2. **Ethical Concerns:** AI's deployment in education also raises ethical challenges, particularly regarding privacy, consent, and surveillance. The use of AI systems like facial recognition and learning analytics may lead to intrusive monitoring of students, raising concerns over data security and student autonomy. Moreover, AI systems can perpetuate biases inherent in their programming, especially those influenced by non-African contexts, exacerbating educational inequalities in regions like Nigeria (Williamson & Piattoeva, 2021; Eubanks, 2018). Therefore, it is essential to establish ethical frameworks that emphasize fairness, transparency, and accountability in AI's integration into educational systems.
3. **Ontological and Humanistic Dimensions:** The findings also indicate a significant ontological concern: education is more than just a transfer of information; it is a process of human development, involving the formation of autonomy, critical consciousness, and moral character (Noddings, 2005). The reliance on AI for teaching, feedback, and emotional support risks dehumanizing the educational process, replacing the critical teacher-student relationship, which has traditionally been central to the moral and relational aspects of education. The emphasis on

efficiency and outcome metrics by AI may conflict with the existential goals of education, as emphasized by philosophers such as Dewey and Freire.

4. **Cultural and Infrastructural Context:** The study found that the integration of AI in education, particularly in Nigeria, is hindered by significant infrastructural and cultural barriers. Limited access to reliable internet, electricity, and digital literacy across urban and rural areas exacerbates existing inequalities, undermining the promise of AI in providing equitable access to education. The study calls for policies that ensure AI's introduction is accompanied by efforts to bridge these digital divides, ensuring that educational justice is achieved (Afolabi et al., 2022).
5. **Futures-Oriented Philosophy of Education:** Finally, the study underscores the importance of aligning AI development with educational values. AI should not dictate the direction of education but should be shaped by the educational goals of fostering independent thought, responsibility, and democratic engagement, as argued by Biesta (2010). Education must remain an active participant in how technology is employed, ensuring that AI complements and enhances humanistic educational objectives rather than undermining them.

## Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education presents a promising future, but it requires careful and thoughtful consideration. AI offers the potential to transform education by enhancing accessibility and personalizing learning experiences. It can provide adaptive learning systems that cater to the individual needs and preferences of students, tailoring the content, pace, and delivery to foster personal growth. Although AI may not yet be fully ready to replace human teachers or humanoid robots in classrooms, its role in reshaping the educational landscape is undeniable. By leveraging AI, educational content can be customized to help students develop their unique strengths and interests, thus improving learning outcomes.

However, the integration of AI must be approached with philosophical reasoning, ensuring that it serves as a complement to traditional, human-centered educational practices, rather than replacing them. While AI offers efficiency and personalization, it must be carefully managed to safeguard the dignity, autonomy, and intellectual freedom of both students and educators. Philosophical inquiry calls for a critical adoption of AI, one that upholds educational values and addresses ethical concerns such as privacy, bias, and inequality. In this context, educational policies should focus on equitable access to AI, while prioritizing humanistic values, critical thinking, and lifelong learning.

Ultimately, AI holds transformative potential for education, but its success will depend on how well it is integrated with the broader goals of human development, equity, and social justice. The future of education will depend on striking a balance between technological innovation and the preservation of the core values that define meaningful, human-centered learning experiences.

## Recommendations:

1. **Ethical Frameworks for AI in Education:** Policymakers must establish and enforce ethical guidelines for the use of AI in education. These frameworks should address issues related to privacy, consent, fairness, and transparency in the design and application of AI systems. Special attention should be given to preventing biases in AI algorithms, especially in diverse and developing contexts like Nigeria, to avoid reinforcing educational inequalities.
2. **Focus on Human-Centered Education:** While AI can enhance personalized learning, it is essential that the humanistic aspects of education, such as mentorship, empathy, and moral development, remain central. Educators should be equipped to balance the use of AI tools with the fostering of critical thinking and the development of character, autonomy, and social consciousness among students.
3. **Equitable Access to Technology:** To ensure AI's benefits are accessible to all students, the Nigerian government should invest in improving digital infrastructure, especially in rural areas. Access to reliable internet, electricity, and digital literacy programs should be prioritized to mitigate the risk of further entrenching existing educational inequalities.
4. **Lifelong Learning and Skill Development:** Education systems must promote lifelong learning and equip students with the skills necessary for the future workforce. This includes fostering skills like critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, data analysis, and programming. Educational institutions should update curricula to reflect the changing demands of the digital economy, preparing students for the evolving landscape shaped by AI.
5. **Teacher Training and Professional Development:** Teachers should be trained not only in how to use AI tools but also in how to integrate them in ways that complement and enhance human-centered pedagogy. Professional development programs should focus on developing teachers' critical thinking, ethical decision-making, and adaptability to the changing educational environment.
6. **Public Dialogue and Participation:** Engaging in public dialogue about the implications of AI in education is crucial. Governments, educational stakeholders, and the general public should be involved in discussions about AI's role in shaping the future of education. This dialogue should explore the ethical, social, and cultural implications of AI to ensure that its integration aligns with the societal values of the community.

## References:

- Achebe, C. (2022). *The Education of a British-Protected Child*. Penguin Books.
- Adeyemi, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Philosophical Perspective. *Journal of*
- Adeyinka, A. A. (2022). The Philosophy of Education in Nigeria. *Journal of Philosophy and*

- Afolabi, O., Alabi, A. O., & Olabisi, M. O. (2022). *Bridging the digital divide in Nigerian education: Challenges and opportunities for AI integration*. Journal of Educational Technology, 45(3), 135-152. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jet.2022.0345>.
- Ahmad, R., Akintoye, A., & Ojo, S. (2024). *Transforming education with artificial intelligence: A comprehensive review of applications, challenges, and future directions*. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387732084\\_Transforming\\_Education\\_with\\_Artificial\\_Intelligence\\_A\\_Comprehensive\\_Review\\_of\\_Applications\\_Challenges\\_and\\_Future\\_Directions](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387732084_Transforming_Education_with_Artificial_Intelligence_A_Comprehensive_Review_of_Applications_Challenges_and_Future_Directions).
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2017). *Digital learning compass: Distance education enrollment report 2017*. Babson Survey Research Group. <https://onlinelearningsurvey.com/reports/digitallearningcompassenrollment2017.pdf>.
- Biesta, G. (2010). *Good education in an age of measurement: On the need to reconnect with the question of purpose in education*. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 22(1), 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-009-9076-x>.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Bughin, J., Hazan, E., Ramaswamy, S., Chui, M., Allas, T., Dahlström, P., & Trench, M. (2018). *Skill shift: Automation and the future of the workforce*. McKinsey Global Institute. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/skill-shift-automation-and-the-future-of-the-workforce>.
- Built In. (n.d). *Strong AI vs. Weak AI: What's the Difference?*. <https://builtin.com/artificial-intelligence/strong-ai-weak-ai> Built In.
- Cosman, O. (2021). *"Robots in the Universe: What Collaborators Are and How We Can Work Development* Cambridge: University Press.
- Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor*. St. Martin's Press.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Herder and Herder.
- GeeksforGeeks. (2023). *Intelligent Systems in AI*. <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/intelligent-systems-in-ai/>GeeksforGeeks+2GeeksforGeeks+2GeeksforGeeks+2.
- Harvard Graduate School of Education. (2021). *Fostering critical thinking skills for an AI-infused world*. <https://mepli.gse.harvard.edu/our-fellows-at-work/fostering-critical-thinking-skills-for-an-ai-infused-world-a-comprehensive-toolkit>.
- He, J., Baxter, S. L., Xu, J., Xu, J., Zhou, X., & Zhang, K. (2019). The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0307-0>.
- Heinämaa, S., & Tuomi, I. (1989). Artificial intelligence and the problem of interpretation: An essay in cognitive science and hermeneutics. *Acta Philosophica Fennica*, 49, 3–43.



- Harré, R., Clarke, D., & De Carlo, N. (1985). *Motives and Mechanisms: An Introduction to the Psychology of Action*. Methuen.
- Innopharma Education. (n.d.). *The impact of AI on job roles, workforce and employment: What you need to know*. Retrieved from <https://www.innopharmaeducation.com/blog/the-impact-of-ai-on-job-roles-workforce-and-employment-what-you-need-to-know>.
- James Madison University. (2024). *Ethics in AI and education*. <https://guides.lib.jmu.edu/AI-in-education/ethics>.
- Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present and future. *Stroke and Vascular Neurology*, 2(4), 230–243. <https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2017-000101>.
- Kant, I. (2007). *Lectures on Pedagogy* (Original work published 1803). In A. Wood & G. Zöller (Eds.), *Anthropology, History, and Education*. Cambridge University Press.
- LinkedIn Learning. (2023). *Top skills companies need most*. <https://www.linkedin.com/business/talent/blog/learning-and-development/human-skills-age-of-ai>.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson. <https://www.pearson.com/content/dam/one-dot-com/one-dot-com/global/Files/about-pearson/innovation/open-ideas/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf>.
- Mahendra, R. (2023). *Benefits and challenges of online education: A review of recent trends*. *International Journal of Education and Development*, 45(2), 112–124.
- Mäkelä, E., & Stephany, F. (2024). *Complement or substitute? How AI increases the demand for human skills*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.19754>.
- Malik, A. A., Masood, T., & Brem, A. (2023). *Intelligent humanoids in manufacturing to address worker shortage and skill gaps: Case of Tesla Optimus*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.04949>.
- Miao, Fengchun et al. (2021) *AI in education: a guide for policymakers*. Law. France
- Mureşan, M. (2023). *Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Education*. RAIS Conference Proceedings 2022–2024 (No. 0285). Research Association for Interdisciplinary Studies. <https://rais.education/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/0285.pdfIDEAS/RePEc+1RAIS+1>.
- Nilsson, N. J. (2009). *The quest for artificial intelligence: A history of ideas and achievements*. Cambridge University Press.
- Noddings, N. (2005). *The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education*. Teachers College Press.
- Ogunniyi, M. B. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Education in Nigeria. *Journal*
- Okonkwo, C. E. (2022). Philosophical reflections on artificial intelligence in Nigerian education. *Journal of African Educational Philosophy*, 18(1), 45–60.

- Oluwaseun, O. (2022). AI-Powered Adaptive Learning Systems in Nigerian Education. *Journal*
- Peters, M. A. (2020). *Philosophy and the future of AI in education: An epistemological and ethical analysis*. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 52(2), 117-122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2019.1648137>.
- Piaget, J. (1972). *The psychology of the child*. Basic Books.
- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2020). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*. Polity Press.
- Soyinka, W. (2022). *Of Power and Knowledge*. Cambridge University Press.
- The Wall Street Journal. (2023, August 3). *IBM CEO says AI has replaced hundreds of workers but created new programming, sales jobs*. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/ibm-ceo-says-ai-has-replaced-hundreds-of-workers-but-created-new-programming-sales-jobs-54ea6b58>.
- Together." Available at <https://zoom.vodafone.ro/universal-robots-ce-sunt-robotii->
- Topol, E. (2019). *Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Basic Books.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations*. Office of Educational Technology.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning: Insights and recommendations*. Office of Educational Technology. <https://www.ed.gov/sites/ed/files/documents/ai-report/ai-report.pdf>
- UNESCO. *AI in Education: A Guide for Policymakers*. Law. France, 2021.
- Vimal, D. (2022). "The Impact of AI on the Future of Work." Indian time. Available:
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 223-235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995>.
- Williamson, B., & Piattoeva, N. (2021). *Education governance and datafication: The rise of learning analytics and its implications for policy and practice*. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 69(3), 345-362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2020.1811227>
- World Economic Forum. (2020). *The future of jobs report 2020*. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020>.